

INTERVENTIONAL CARDIOLOGY

The Results of Elective Percutaneous Coronary Interventions during Bivalirudin or Heparin Infusions Depending on the Type of Vascular Access

M.A. Sinkov, A. A. Shilov, V.I. Ganyukov

Original Minimally Invasive Retroperitoneal Approach for Transcatheter Aortic Valve Implantation

I.V. Isaeva, E.E. Kovaleva, E.A. Savelov, I.S. Arabadzhyan, V.A. Kryukov, D.G. Iosseliani

The Immediate and Long-term Results of the Graft Interventions

V.A. Ivanov, A.B. Shames, I.S. Bazanov, B.L. Shklovskiy, A.V. Ivanov, S.B. Zharikov, D.A. Maksimkin, I.I. Polyakov, A.G. Faybushevich

Fractional Flow Reserve as a Reliable Method of Identifying the Syndrome-Related Artery in Patients with Stable Coronary Artery Disease (Literature Review)

D.A. Asadov

Reperfusion Peak during Primary Angioplasty in Patients with *STEMI*: Incidence, Predictors, and Impact on Outcome

M.M. Demidova, N. V. Soloveva, A.N. Yakovlev, S.M. Imran, D.A. Zverev, I.D. Esipovich, S.A. Boldueva, P.G. Platonov, V.M. Tikhonenko

Thrombosis of Non-Stenotic Coronary Arteries

S.A. Prozorov

A Case of Successful Closure of Coronary-pulmonary Fistula with a Stent Graft

V.V. Plechev, I.E. Nikolaeva, I.V. Buzaev, I.R. Mustafin, I.E. Yamanaeva

MISCELLANEOUS

Chronic Heart Failure in Patients with Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus

S.V. Kakorin, I.A. Averkova, A.M. Mkrtumyan

The Rebirth of Traditions or The 1st Russian School of young specialists in endovascular diagnosis and treatment.

The course: "BASICS OF INTERVENTIONAL CARDIOANGIOLOGY"

The Results of Elective Percutaneous Coronary Interventions during Bivalirudin or Heparin Infusions Depending on the Type of Vascular Access

M.A. Sinkov, A. A. Shilov, V.I. Ganyukov*

Federal State Budget Scientific Institution (FGBNU) Scientific Research Institute for Complex Issues of Cardiovascular Diseases, Kemerovo, Russia

The presented article evaluates the PCI results in patients with stable coronary heart disease during bivalirudin and heparin infusions depending on the type of vascular access. The following results were obtained: the immediate and long-term results of elective PCI performed via transradial access using anticoagulant support with unfractionated heparin and PCI performed via transfemoral access during bivalirudin therapy are comparable in terms of the number of postoperative hemorrhagic complications and the number of adverse cardiovascular events.

Key words: bivalirudin, chronic coronary heart disease, hemorrhagic complications, transradial access, transfemoral access, long-term results.

** Address for correspondence:*

Maksim Alekseevich Sinkov

Scientific Research Institute for Complex Issues
of Cardiovascular Diseases

6, Sosnovy blvd., Kemerovo, 650002

Phone: +7-904-370-98-33

E-mail : fox2you@mail.ru

References

1. Popma J.J., Berger P., Ohman E.M. et al. Antithrombotic therapy during percutaneous coronary intervention. The seventh ACCP conference on antithrombotic and thrombolytic therapy. *Chest*. 2004, 126, 576–599.
2. Sumeet S., Bach R.G., Chen A.Y. et al. Baseline Risk of Major Bleeding in Non–ST-Segment–Elevation Myocardial Infarction The CRUSADE (Can Rapid risk stratification of Unstable angina patients Suppress ADverse outcomes with Early implementation of the ACC/AHA guidelines) Bleeding Score. *Circulation*. 2009, 119, 1873–1882.
3. Mehran R., Pocock S., Nikolsky E. et al. A Risk Score to Predict Bleeding in Patients With Acute Coronary Syndromes. *J. Am. Coll. Cardiol.* 2010, 55, 2556–2566.
4. Stone G.W., Witzenbichler B., Guagliumi G. et al. Bivalirudin during Primary PCI in Acute Myocardial Infarction. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 2008, 358, 2218–2230.
5. Kastrati A., Neumann F.-J., Mehilli J. et al. Bivalirudin versus Unfractionated Heparin during Percutaneous Coronary Intervention. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 2008, 359, 688–696.
6. Stone G.W., Witzenbichler B., Guagliumi G. et al. HORIZONS-AMI Trial Investigators. Bivalirudin during primary PCI in acute myocardial infarction. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 2008, 358, 2218–2230.

7. Shahzad A., Kemp I., Mars C. et al. On behalf of the HEAT-PPCI trial investigators. Unfractionated heparin versus bivalirudin in primary percutaneous coronary intervention (HEAT-PPCI): an open-label, single centre, randomised controlled trial. *Lancet*. 2014, 7, 2541–2619.
8. Zeymer U., van 't Hof A., Adgey J. et al. Bivalirudin is superior to heparins alone with bailout GP IIb/IIIa inhibitors in patients with *ST*-segment elevation myocardial infarction transported emergently for primary percutaneous coronary intervention: a pre-specified analysis from the EUROMAX trial. *Eur. Heart J.* 2014, 5, 2457–2602.
9. Wijns W., Kolh P., Danchin N. et al. Guidelines on myocardial revascularization: The Task Force on Myocardial Revascularization of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC) and the European Association for Cardio-Thoracic Surgery (EACTS). *Eur. Heart. J.* 2010, 31 (20), 2501–2555.
10. Kolh P., Windecker S., Alfonso F. et al. 2014 ESC/EACTS Guidelines on myocardial revascularization: The Task Force on Myocardial Revascularization of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC) and the European Association for Cardio-Thoracic Surgery (EACTS) Developed with the special contribution of the European Association of Percutaneous Cardiovascular Interventions (EAPCI). *Eur. J. Cardiothorac. Surg.* 2014, 46 (4), 517–592.
11. Lincoff A.M., Bittl J.A., Harrington R.A. et al. Bivalirudin and provisional glycoprotein IIb/IIIa blockade compared with heparin and planned glycoprotein IIb/IIIa blockade during percutaneous coronary intervention: REPLACE-2 randomized trial. *J. Am. Med. Assoc.* 2003, 289, 853–863.
12. Kastrati A., Neumann F.-J., Mehilli J. et al. ISAR-REACT 3 Trial Investigators. Bivalirudin versus unfractionated heparin during percutaneous coronary intervention. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 2008, 359, 688–696.
13. Patti G., Pasceri V., D'Antonio L. et al. Comparison of safety and efficacy of bivalirudin versus unfractionated heparin in high-risk patients undergoing percutaneous coronary intervention (from the Anti-Thrombotic Strategy for Reduction of Myocardial Damage During Angioplasty-Bivalirudin vs Heparin study). *Am. J. Cardiol.* 2012, 110 (4), 478–484.
14. Jolly S.S., Yusuf S. et al. Radial vs. femoral access for coronary angiography and intervention in patients with acute coronary syndromes (RIVAL): a randomised, parallel group, multicentre trial. *Lancet*. 2011, 377 (9775), 1409–1420.
15. Hamon M., Pristipino C. et al. Consensus document on the radial approach in percutaneous cardiovascular interventions: position paper by the European Association of Percutaneous Cardiovascular Interventions and Working Groups on Acute Cardiac Care** and Thrombosis of the European Society of Cardiology. *Eurointerventions*. 2013, 8, 1242–1251.
16. Campeau L. The Canadian Cardiovascular Society grading of angina pectoris revisited 30 years later. *Can. J. Cardiol.* 2002, 18, 371–379.
17. Mehran R., Rao S.V., Bhatt D.L. et al. Standardized bleeding definitions for cardiovascular clinical trials: A consensus report from the Bleeding Academic Research Consortium. *Circulation*. 2011, 123, 2736–2747.
18. Jolly S.S., Amlani S., Hamon M., Yusuf S., Mehta S.R. Radial versus femoral access for coronary angiography or intervention and the impact on major bleeding and ischemic

events: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized trials. *Am. Heart J.* 2009, 157, 132–140.

Original Minimally Invasive Retroperitoneal Approach for Transcatheter Aortic Valve Implantation

I.V. Isaeva, E.E. Kovaleva, E.A. Savelov, I.S. Arabadzhyan, V.A. Kryukov, D.G. Iosseliani*
Moscow City Center of Interventional Cardiology, Moscow, Russia

Recently, transcatheter aortic valve implantation (TAVI) became a routine clinical practice for the treatment of severe aortic stenosis in non-operable patients or in patients at high risk for “open” surgery. At present, many surgeons performing TAVI prefer transfemoral approach because of its minimal invasiveness. However in about one third of patients with calcified or small femoral arteries, it is necessary to use other, alternative approaches – trans-apical, transaortic or subclavian – each of them having its indications and contraindications. Meanwhile in some cases it is impossible to use anyone of these approaches. The search of solution for this problem led us to the performance of TAVI through a new minimally invasive retroperitoneal approach to the iliac arteries.

Key words: aortic stenosis, transcatheter aortic valve implantation, retroperitoneal approach.

** Address for correspondence:*

Dr. Elena Kovaleva
Moscow City Center of Interventional Cardioangiology
5, Sverchkov pereulok, Moscow, 101000, Russia
Phone: +7-495-624-96-36
E-mail: kolen80@bk.ru

References

1. Bonow R., Carabello B., Chatterjee K. et al. 2008 focused update incorporated into the acc/aha 2006 guidelines for the management of patients with valvular heart disease: A report of the american college of cardiology/American heart association task force on practice guidelines (writing committee to revise the 1998 guidelines for the management of patients with valvular heart disease): Endorsed by the society of cardiovascular anesthesiologists, society for cardiovascular angiography and interventions, and society of thoracic surgeons. *Circulation*. 2008, 118 (15), e523–e661.
2. Brown J., O’Brien S., Wu C. et al. Isolated aortic valve replacement in north america comprising 108,687 patients in 10 years: Changes in risks, valve types, and outcomes in the society of thoracic surgeons national database. *J. Thorac. Cardiovasc. Surg.* 2009, 137 (1), 82–90.
3. Dewey T.M., Brown D.L., Herbert M.A. et al. Effect of concomitant coronary artery disease on procedural and late outcomes of transcatheter aortic valve implantation. *Ann. Thorac. Surg.* 2010, 89 (3), 758–767.
4. Dimarakis I., Rehman S.M., Grant S.W. et al. Conventional aortic valve replacement for high-risk aortic stenosis patient not suitable for transcatheter aortic valve implantation: feasibility and outcomes. *Eur. J. Cardiothorac. Surg.* 2011, 40 (30), 743–748.

5. Conradi L., Seiffert M., Franzen O. et al. First experience with transcatheter aortic valve implantation and concomitant percutaneous coronary intervention. *Clin. Res. Cardiol.* 2011, 100 (40), 311–316.
6. Rob C. Extraperitoneal approach to the abdominal aorta. *Surgery.* 1963, 53, 87–89.
7. Dolinin V.A., Lebedev L.V., Peregudob I.G. et al. Technique of surgical interventions on the vessels. St. Petersburg., Hippocrate, 2004, 171. (In Russian)
8. Honig M., Mason R., Giron F. et al. Wound complications of the retroperitoneal approach to the aorta and iliac vessels. *J. Vasc. Surg.* 1992, 15, 28–34.
9. Weber G., Geza J., Kalmar N. K. et al. Aorto-bifemoral bypass through retroperitoneal “mini”-incision (preliminary report). *Orv. Hetil. Review. Hungarian.* 1994, 135 (37), 2035–2038.
10. Dion Y.M., Katkhouda N., Rouleau C. et al. Laparoscopy-assisted aortobifemoral bypass. *Surg. Laparosc. Endosc.* 1993, 3 (5), 425–429.
11. Klokocovnik T., Mirkovic T., Pintar T. et al. Minilaparotomy for aortoiliac occlusive disease: preliminary results. *Tex. Heart Inst. J.* 2002, 29 (4), 316–318.
12. Khamitov F.F., Vertkina N.V., Belov Yu.V. et al. Minimally invasive technologies in the surgery of abdominal aortic aneurysms in elderly patients. *Khirurgia,* 2008, 6, 78-81. (In Russian).
13. Fadin B.V., Prudkov M.I, Kuznetsov A.A. First experience with aortofemoral bypass grafting with the use of mini-laparotomy approach in critical lower limb ischemia in patients with multifocal atherosclerosis. *Endoskopicheskaya khirurgia,* 2002, 5, 12–16. (In Russian)

The Immediate and Long-term Results of the Graft Interventions

V.A. Ivanov¹, A.B. Shames¹, I.S. Bazanov^{1,2}, B.L. Shklovskiy¹, A.V. Ivanov¹,
S.B. Zharikov^{1,2}, D.A. Maksimkin², I.I. Polyakov¹, A.G. Faybushevich²

¹ A.A. Vishnevsky Central Military Clinical Hospital # 3, Ministry of Defence of the Russian Federation,

Krasnogorsk, Russia

² Department of Hospital Surgery with Pediatric Surgery Course, Peoples' Friendship University of Russia, Moscow, Russia

Despite satisfactory immediate results of coronary artery bypass grafting (CABG), the risk of major cardiovascular events in patients remains high. Repeat CABG leads to a higher incidence of deaths and major cardiovascular events, therefore percutaneous coronary interventions (PCIs) are the option of choice for patients with post-CABG angina. The study focuses on the immediate and long-term graft stenting results. The study results show that when using the study algorithm, the graft stenting leads to the favorable immediate and long-term outcomes.

Key words: coronary graft stenting, graft lesions, graft occlusions, algorithm of lesion selection for graft stenting.

* *Address for correspondence:*

Dr. Ivan Bazanov

A.A. Vishnevsky Central Military Clinical Hospital # 3,
Ministry of Defense of the Russian Federation.

township Noviy, post-office Arkhangelskoye, Krasnogorsk district, Moscow region, 143420

Phone: +7-926-585-11-41

E-mail : ba333333@gmail.com; baza@kmail.ru

References

1. Epstein A.J., Polsky D., Yang F. et al. Coronary revascularization trends in the United States, 2001–2008. *JAMA*, 2011, 305, 1769–1776.
2. Bockeria L.A., Alekyan B.G. The guidance on the roentgenologic-endovascular surgery of the heart and vessels. Moscow. Publisher NCSSH im. A.N. Bakuleva RAMN. 2008. Vol.3, p. 592. (In Russian)
3. Harskamp R.E., Lopes R.D., Baisden C.E. et al. Saphenous vein graft failure after coronary artery bypass surgery: pathophysiology, management, and future directions. *Ann. Surg.* 2013, 257 (5), 824–833.
4. Abdel-Karim A.R., Da Silva M., Lichtenwalter C. Prevalence and outcomes of intermediate saphenous vein graft lesions: findings from the stenting of saphenous vein grafts randomized-controlled trial. *Int. J. Cardiol.*, 2013, 168 (3), 2468–2473. Epub 2013 Apr 3.
5. Stamou S.C., Pfister A.J., Dullum M.K. et al. Late outcome of reoperative coronary revascularization on the beating heart. *Heart Surg. Forum.* 2001, 4, 69–73.

6. Brilakis E.S., Wang T.Y., Rao S.V. et al. Frequency and predictors of drug-eluting stent use in saphenous vein bypass graft percutaneous coronary interventions: a report from the American College of Cardiology National Cardiovascular Data CathPCI registry. *JACC Cardiovasc. Interv.* 2010, 3, 1068–1073.
7. Brodie B.R., Wilson H., Stuckey T. et al. Outcomes with drug-eluting versus bare-metal stents in saphenous vein graft intervention results from the STENT (strategic transcatheter evaluation of new therapies) group. *JACC Cardiovasc. Interv.* 2009, 2, 1105–1112.
8. Morrison D.A., Sethi G., Sacks J. et al. Percutaneous coronary intervention versus repeat bypass surgery for patients with medically refractory myocardial ischemia: AWESOME randomized trial and registry experience with post-CABG patients. *J. Am. Coll. Cardiol.* 2002, 40, 1951–1954.
9. Al S.J., Velianou J.L., Berger P.B. et al. Primary percutaneous coronary interventions in patients with acute myocardial infarction and prior coronary artery bypass grafting. *Am. Heart J.* 2001, 142, 452–459.
10. Brodie B.R., Versteeg D.S., Brodie M.M. et al. Poor long-term patient and graft survival after primary percutaneous coronary intervention for acute myocardial infarction due to saphenous vein graft occlusion. *Catheter. Cardiovasc. Interv.* 2005, 65, 504–509.
11. Stone G.W., Brodie B.R., Griffin J.J. et al. Clinical and angiographic outcomes in patients with previous coronary artery bypass graft surgery treated with primary balloon angioplasty for acute myocardial infarction. Second Primary Angioplasty in Myocardial Infarction Trial (PAMI-2) Investigators. *J. Am. Coll. Cardiol.* 2000, 35, 605–611.
12. Hakeem A., Helmy T., Munsif S. et al. Safety and efficacy of drug eluting stents compared with bare metal stents for saphenous vein graft interventions: a comprehensive meta-analysis of randomized trials and observational studies comprising 7,994 patients. *Catheter. Cardiovasc. Interv.* 2011, 77, 343–355.
13. Lee M.S., Hu P.P., Aragon J. et al. Comparison of sirolimus-eluting stents with paclitaxel-eluting stents in saphenous vein graft intervention (from a multicenter Southern California Registry). *Am. J. Cardiol.* 2010, 106, 337–341.
14. Lee M.S., Yang T., Kandzari D.E. et al. Comparison by metaanalysis of drug-eluting stents and bare metal stents for saphenous vein graft intervention. *Am. J. Cardiol.* 2010, 105, 1076–1082.
15. Mehilli J., Pache J., Abdel-Wahab M. et al. Drug-eluting versus bare-metal stents in saphenous vein graft lesions (ISAR-CABG): a randomised controlled superiority trial. *Lancet.* 2011, 378, 1071–1078.
16. Meier P., Brilakis E.S., Corti R., et al. Drug-eluting versus bare-metal stent for treatment of saphenous vein grafts: a meta-analysis. *PLoS One.* 2010, 5, e11040.
17. Testa L., Agostoni P., Vermeersch P. et al. Drug eluting stents versus bare metal stents in the treatment of saphenous vein graft disease: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *EuroIntervention.* 2010, 6, 527–536.
18. Wiisanen M.E., bdel-Latif A., Mukherjee D., Ziada K.M. Drug-eluting stents versus bare-metal stents in saphenous vein graft interventions: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *JACC Cardiovasc. Interv.* 2010, 3, 1262–1273.

19. Al-Lamee R., Ielasi A., Latib A. et al. Clinical and angiographic outcomes after percutaneous recanalization of chronic total saphenous vein graft occlusion using modern techniques. *Am. J. Cardiol.* 2010, 106 (12), 1721–1727.
20. Garg N., Hakeem A., Gobal F., Uretsky B.F. Outcomes of percutaneous coronary intervention of chronic total saphenous vein graft occlusions in the contemporary era. *Catheter. Cardiovasc. Interv.* 2014, 83 (7), 1025–1032.
21. Levine G.N., Bates E.R., Blankenship J.C. et al. 2011 ACCF/AHA/SCAI guideline for percutaneous coronary intervention. A report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines and the Society for Cardiovascular Angiography and Interventions. *J. Am. Coll. Cardiol.* 2011, 58 (24): e44–e122.
22. Brilakis E.S., Lichtenwalter C., de Lemos J.A. et al. A randomized controlled trial of a paclitaxel-eluting stent versus a similar bare-metal stent in saphenous vein graft lesions the SOS (Stenting of Saphenous Vein Grafts) trial. *J. Am. Coll. Cardiol.* 2009, 53, 919–928.
23. Kitabata H., Loh J.P., Pendyala L.K. et al. Two-year follow-up of outcomes of second-generation everolimus-eluting stents versus first-generation drug-eluting stents for stenosis of saphenous vein grafts used as aortocoronary conduits. *Am. J. Cardiol.* 2013, 112 (1), 61–67.
24. Shah S.J., Waters D.D., Barter P. et al. Intensive lipid-lowering with atorvastatin for secondary prevention in patients after coronary artery bypass surgery. *J. Am. Coll. Cardiol.* 2008, 51, 1938–1943.
25. Zhou Q., Liao J.K. Statins and cardiovascular diseases: from cholesterol lowering to pleiotropy. *Curr. Pharm. Des.* 2009, 15, 467–478.
26. Babunashvili A.M., Ivanov V.A. Coronary Chronic Total Occlusion: anatomy, pathophysiology, endovascular treatment:- Monograph. Moscow, ACB Publisher, 2012, pp.487–509. (In Russian).
27. Iakovou I., Schmidt T., Bonizzoni E. et al. Incidence, predictors and outcome of thrombosis after successful implantation of drug-eluting stents. *JAMA* 2005, 293, 2126–2130.
28. Hougaard M., Thayssen P., Kaltoft A. et al. Long-term outcome following percutaneous coronary intervention with drug-eluting stents compared with bare-metal stents in saphenous vein graft lesions: from Western Denmark heart registry. *Catheter. Cardiovasc. Interv.* 2014, 83 (7), 1035–1042.
29. Ybarra L.F., Ribeiro H.B., Pozetti A.H. et al. Long-term follow-up of drug eluting versus bare metal stents in the treatment of saphenous vein graft lesions. *Catheter. Cardiovasc. Interv.* 2013, 82 (7): E856–E863.
30. Ijsselmuiden A.J.J., Ezechiels J.P., Westendorp I.C.D. et al. Complete versus culprit vessel percutaneous coronary intervention in multivessel disease: A randomized comparison. *Am. Heart J.* 2004, 148, 467–474.
31. Jones J.M., O'kane H., Gladstone D.J. et al. Repeat heart valve surgery: risk factors for operative mortality. *J. Thorac. Cardiovasc. Surg.* 2001, 122, 913–918.

Fractional Flow Reserve as a Reliable Method of Identifying the Syndrome-Related Artery in Patients with Stable Coronary Artery Disease (Literature Review)

D.A. Asadov*

Moscow City Center of Interventional Cardiology, Moscow, Russia

To date, invasive coronary angiography is a routine method for the evaluation of the state of cardiac vessels. However, in their everyday practice, invasive cardiologists face the difficulties in the evaluation of coronary blood flow in the presence of clear clinical picture and the data of instrumental method of study. Wide-spread use of the methods of intravascular visualization facilitate accurate determination of the tactics of treatment in many cases, however all these methods are just an addition to coronary angiography and cannot assess functional state of the coronary arteries. The method of invasive evaluation of the state of coronary blood flow based on the measurement of trans-stenotic gradient got general recognition and became a regular clinical practice. Unfortunately, the method of evaluation of fractional flow reserve has its advantages and drawbacks, which should be always taken into account in questionable situations. This article is an attempt of a review and an analysis of the existing literature with the aim to give an objective assessment of this methods role in everyday clinical practice.

Key words: fractional flow reserve, coronary artery disease, PCI.

* *Address for correspondence:*

Dr. Djamil Asadov

Moscow City Center of Interventional Cardioangiology

5, Sverchkov pereulok Moscow, 101000, Russia

E-mail : asadov_djamil@mail.ru

References

1. Prati F., Regar E., Mintz G.S. et al. Imaging of atherosclerosis: optical coherence tomography (OCT). Expert review document on methodology, terminology, and clinical applications of optical coherence tomography: physical principles, methodology of image acquisition, and clinical application for assessment of coronary arteries and athero-sclerosis. *Eur. Heart J.* 2010, 31, 401–415.
2. Folland E., Vogel R., Hartigan P. et al. Relation between coronary artery stenosis assessed by visual, caliper and computer methods and exercise capacity in patients with single-vessel coronary artery disease. *Circulation.* 1994, 89, 2005–2014.
3. Escaned J., Alfonso F., Botas J. Pressure wire: technical considerations. Basic principles of fractional flow reserve. *Intracoronary diagnostic techniques.* McGraw-Hill/Interamericana, Madrid, 2003, 337.
4. Pijls N., van Schaardenburgh P., Manoharan G. et al. Percutaneous coronary intervention of functionally nonsignificant stenosis. 5-Year follow-up of the DEFER Study. *J. Am. Coll. Cardiol.* 2007, 49, 2105–2111.

5. De Bruyne B., Bartunek J., Sys S.U. et al. Relation between myocardial fractional flow reserve calculated from coronary pressure measurements and exercise-induced myocardial ischemia. *Circulation*, 1995, 92, 3183–3193.
6. De Bruyne B., Pijls N., Bartunek J., et al. Fractional flow reserve in patients with prior myocardial infarction. *Circulation*, 2001, 104, 157–162.
7. Pijls N., van Gelder B., van der Voort P. et al. Fractional flow reserve. A useful index to evaluate the influence of an epicardial coronary stenosis on myocardial blood flow. *Circulation*. 1995, 92, 3183–3193.
8. Pijls N., de Bruyne B., Peels K. et al. Measurement of myocardial fractional flow reserve to assess the functional severity of coronary artery stenosis. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 1996, 334, 1703–1708.
9. Chamuleau S.A.J., Meuwissen M., van Eck-Smit B.L.F. et al. Fractional flow reserve, absolute and relative coronary blood flow velocity reserve in relation to the results of technetium-99m sestamibi single-photon emission computed tomography in patients with two-vessel coronary artery disease. *J. Am. Coll. Cardiol.* 2001, 37,1316–1322.
10. Bartunek J., Marwick T.H. Rodrigues A.C.T. et al. Dobutamine-induced wall motion abnormalities: Correlation with fractional flow reserve and quantitative coronary angiography. *J. Am. Coll. Cardiol.* 1996, 27, 1429–1436.
11. Topol E.J., Ellis S.G., Cosgrove D.M. et al. Analysis of coronary angioplasty practice in the United States with an insurance-claims data base. *Circulation*. 1993, 87, 1489–1498.
12. Abe M., Tomiyama H., Yoshida H. et al. Diastolic fractional flow reserve to assess the functional severity of moderate coronary artery stenoses in comparison with fractional flow reserve and coronary flow velocity reserve. *Circulation*. 2000, 102, 2356–2370.
13. Meuwissen M., Chamuleau S.A.J., Siebes M. et al. Role of variability in microvascular resistance of fractional flow reserve and coronary blood flow velocity reserve in intermediate coronary lesions. *Circulation*. 2001, 103, 184–187.
14. van de Hoef T. P., van Lavieren M.A., Damman P. et al. Prognostic value of fractional flow reserve after deferral of revascularization using the 0,75 Defer or 0,80 fame OR 0.80 FAME fractional flow reserve cut-off value: clinical implications of the 0,75-0,80 fractional flow reserve grey zone. *J. Am. Coll. Cardiol.* 2014, 63. doi: 10.1016/S0735-1097(14) 61786-1.
15. Pijls N., de Bruyne B., Bech G.J.W. et al. Coronary pressure measurement to assess the hemodynamic significance of serial stenoses within one coronary artery. Validation in humans. *Circulation*. 2000, 102, 2371–2372.
16. De Bruyne B., Pijls N., Heyndrickx G.R. et al. Pressure-derived fractional flow reserve to assess serial epicardial stenoses: theoretical basis and validation. *Circulation*. 2000, 101, 1840–1847.
17. Pijls N., De Bruyne B. Fractional flow reserve in some specific pathologic conditions. In: *Coronary Pressure. Second Edition.* Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers. 2000, 255–267.
18. Beller G.A., Zaret B.L. Contributions of nuclear cardiology to diagnosis and prognosis of patients with coronary artery disease. *Circulation*. 2000, 101, 1465–1478.
19. Botman C.J., Bech G.J.W., De Bruyne B. et al. PTCA versus bypass surgery in patients with multivessel disease: a tailored approach based on coronary pressure measurement. *J. Am. Coll. Cardiol.* 2001, 37,55A.

20. De Bruyne B., Hersbach F., Pijls N. et al. Abdominal epicardial coronary resistance in patients with diffuse atherosclerosis but “normal” coronary angiography. *Circulation*. 2001, 104, 2401–2406.
21. Van Liebergen R., Piek J.J., Koch K.T. et al. Quantification of collateral flow in humans a comparison of angiographic, electrocardiographic and hemodynamic variables. *J. Am. Coll. Cardiol.* 1999, 33, 670–677.
22. Pijls N., De Bruyne B., eds. *Coronary pressure*. Dordrecht, Kluwer Academic Publishers. 1997, 60–68.
23. Klocke F.J. Resting Versus Vasodilated Pressure Gradients in Assessing Stenosis Severity. *J. Am. Coll. Cardiol.* 2014, 63 (13), 1262–1263.
24. Bietau C., Schlundt C., Klinghammer L., Achenbach S. Comparison of intravenous and intracoronary adenosine for determination of pressure-derived fractional flow reserve. *J. Am. Coll. Cardiol.* 2014, 63 (12_S), 1016–1097.
25. Nørgaard B.L., Jonathon L., Gaur S. Diagnostic Performance of Noninvasive Fractional Flow Reserve Derived From Coronary Computed Tomography Angiography in Sus-pected Coronary Artery Disease The NXT Trial (Analysis of Coronary Blood Flow Using CT Angiography: Next Steps). *J. Am. Coll. Cardiol.* 2014, 63(12), 1145–1155.
26. Gaur S., Bezerra H.G., Evald H. Reproducibility of invasively measured and non-invasively computed fractional flow reserve. *J. Am. Coll. Cardiol.* 2014, 63 (12_S), 1016–1097.
27. Takagi A., Tsurumi Y., Ishii Y. et al. Clinical potential of intravascular ultrasound for physiological assessment of coronary stenosis. Relationship between quantitative ultrasound tomography and pressure-derived fractional flow reserve. *Circulation*. 1999, 100, 250–255.
28. Briguori C., Anzuini A., Airolidi F. et al. Intravascular ultrasound criteria for the assessment of the functional significance of intermediate coronary artery stenoses and correlation with fractional flow reserve. *Am. J. Cardiol.* 2001, 87, 136–141.
29. Sun-Joo Jang, Jung-Min Ahn, Seung-Jung Park, Wang-Yuhl Oh. Derivation and validation of optical coherence tomography-derived fractional flow reserve for the assessment of intermediate coronary lesions. *J. Am. Coll. Cardiol.* 2014, 63, 1016–1097.

Reperfusion Peak during Primary Angioplasty in Patients with STEMI: Incidence, Predictors, and Impact on Outcome

M.M. Demidova^{1*}, N. V. Soloveva¹, A.N. Yakovlev¹, S.M. Imran², D.A. Zverev¹,
I.D. Esipovich¹, S.A. Boldueva², P.G. Platonov³, V.M. Tikhonenko¹

¹ V.A. Almazov Federal Medical Research Center, Saint Petersburg

² Saint Petersburg Medical Academy of Postgraduate Education

³ Lund University, Lund, Sweden

The study is designed to assess the ST changes during primary PCI in patients with STEMI using continuous 12-lead ECG monitoring. The reperfusion peak is described, its incidence is evaluated; and its predictors are identified.

Key words: reperfusion, STEMI, PCI, ECG monitoring.

* Address for correspondence:

Dr. Marina Demidova

V.A. Almazov Federal Medical Research Center (FMIC)

E-mail: marina.m.demidova@gmail.com

References

1. Steg P.G., James S.K. et al. ESC Guidelines for the management of acute myocardial infarction in patients presenting with *ST*-segment elevation. *Eur. Heart J.* 2012, 33 (20), 2569–2619. Epub 2012/08/28.
2. O'Gara P.T., Kushner F.G., Ascheim D.D. et al. 2013 ACCF/AHA guideline for the management of *ST*-elevation myocardial infarction: a report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines. *Circulation.* 2013, 127 (4), e362–e425. Epub 2012/12/19.
3. Tanaka A., Kawarabayashi. T, Nishibori Y. et al. No-reflow phenomenon and lesion morphology in patients with acute myocardial infarction. *Circulation.* 2002, 105 (18), 2148–2152. Epub 2002/05/08.
4. Nijveldt R., Beek A.M., Hirsch A. et al. Functional recovery after acute myocardial infarction: comparison between angiography, electrocardiography, and cardiovascular magnetic resonance measures of microvascular injury. *J. Am. Coll. Cardiol.* 2008, 52 (3), 181–189. Epub 2008/07/12.
5. van 't Hof A.W., Liem A., de Boer M.J., Zijlstra F. Clinical value of 12-lead electrocardiogram after successful reperfusion therapy for acute myocardial infarction. Zwolle Myocardial infarction Study Group. *Lancet.* 1997, 350 (9078), 615–619. Epub 1997/08/30.
6. Demidova M.M., Tikhonenko V.M., Burova N.N. Types of *ST*-segment resolution during thrombolytic therapy in patients with acute coronary syndrome. *Int. J. Interventional Cardioangiol.* 2008, 16, 16–21.

7. Nilsson J.B., Jensen S., Ottander P., Näslund U. The electrocardiographic reperfusion peak in patients with *ST*-elevation myocardial infarction. *Scand. Cardiovasc. J.* 2007, 41 (1), 25–31. Epub 2007/03/17.
8. Thygesen K., Alpert J.S., Jaffe A.S. et al. Third universal definition of myocardial infarction. *Eur. Heart J.* 2012, 33 (20), 2551–2567. Epub 2012/08/28.
9. Sheehan F.H., Braunwald E., Canner P. et al. The effect of intravenous thrombolytic therapy on left ventricular function: a report on tissue-type plasminogen activator and streptokinase from the Thrombolysis in Myocardial Infarction (TIMI Phase I) trial. *Circulation.* 1987, 75 (4), 817–829. Epub 1987/04/01.
10. Lang R.M., Bierig M., Devereux R.B. et al. Recommendations for chamber quantification. *European journal of echocardiography : the journal of the Working Group on Echocardiography of the European Society of Cardiology.* 2006, 7 (2), 79–108. Epub 2006/02/07.
11. Mason R.E., Likar I. A new system of multiple-lead exercise electrocardiography. *Am. Heart J.* 1966, 71 (2), 196–205. Epub 1966/02/01.
12. Birnbaum Y., Drew B.J. The electrocardiogram in *ST* elevation acute myocardial infarction: correlation with coronary anatomy and prognosis. *Postgrad. Med. J.* 2003, 79 (935), 490–504. Epub 2003/09/19.
13. Bland J.M., Altman D.G. Statistics notes. The odds ratio. *Br. Med. J.* 2000, 320 (7247), 1468. Epub 2000/05/29.
14. Nilsson J.B., Eriksson A., Naslund U. Transient Increase in *ST*-segment Changes at Time of Reperfusion in Acute Myocardial Infarction Treated by Coronary Angioplasty. *J. Invasive Cardiol.* 1998, 10 (5), 246–250. Epub 2000/04/14.
15. Terkelsen C.J., Norgaard B.L., Lassen J.F. et al. Potential significance of spontaneous and interventional *ST*-changes in patients transferred for primary percutaneous coronary intervention: observations from the *ST*-MONitoring in Acute Myocardial Infarction study (The MONAMI study). *Eur. Heart J.* 2006, 27 (3), 267–275. Epub 2005/10/18.
16. Terkelsen C.J., Kaltoft A.K., Norgaard B.L. et al. *ST* changes before and during primary percutaneous coronary intervention predict final infarct size in patients with *ST* elevation myocardial infarction. *J. Electrocardiol.* 2009, 42 (1), 64–72. Epub 2008/11/06.
17. Demidova M.M., Tikhonenko V.M., Burova NN. Assessment of the state of a patient with acute coronary syndrome during thrombolytic therapy with the use of multichannel ECG-monitoring. *Kardiologiya.* 2009, 49 (7–8), 25–31. Epub 2009/08/07.
18. Demidova M.M., van der Pals J., Ubachs J.F. et al. *ST*-segment dynamics during reperfusion period and the size of myocardial injury in experimental myocardial infarction. *J. Electrocardiol.* 2011, 44 (1), 74–81. Epub 2010/12/21.
19. Van de Werf F., Bax J., Betriu A. et al. Management of acute myocardial infarction in patients presenting with persistent *ST*-segment elevation: the Task Force on the Management of *ST*-Segment Elevation Acute Myocardial Infarction of the European Society of Cardiology. *Eur. Heart J.* 2008, 29 (23), 2909–2945. Epub 2008/11/14.
20. Lonborg J., Kelbaek H., Holmvang L. et al. *ST* peak during primary percutaneous coronary intervention predicts final infarct size, left ventricular function, and clinical outcome. *J. Electrocardiol.*, 2012, 45 (6), 708–716. Epub 2012/07/27.

21. Khand A., Fisher M., Jones J. et al. The collateral circulation of the heart in coronary total arterial occlusions in man: systematic review of assessment and pathophysiology. *Am. Heart J.* 2013, 166 (6), 941–952. Epub 2013/11/26.
22. Coronel R., Wilms-Schopman F.J., Opthof T. et al. Reperfusion arrhythmias in isolated perfused pig hearts. Inhomogeneities in extracellular potassium, *ST* and *TQ* potentials, and transmembrane action potentials. *Circ. Res.* 1992, 71 (5), 1131–1142. Epub 1992/11/01.
23. Carmeliet E. Cardiac ionic currents and acute ischemia: from channels to arrhythmias. *Physiol. Rev.* 1999, 79 (3), 917–1017. Epub 1999/07/03.
24. Van Emous J.G., Schreur J.H., Ruigrok T.J., Van Echteld C.J. Both Na⁺-K⁺ ATPase and Na⁺-H⁺ exchanger are immediately active upon post-ischemic reperfusion in isolated rat hearts. *J. Mol. Cell. Cardiol.* 1998, 30 (2), 337–348. Epub 1998/05/30.
25. Johanson P., Jernberg T., Gunnarsson G. et al. Prognostic value of *ST*-segment resolution-when and what to measure. *Eur. Heart J.* 2003, 24 (4), 337–345. Epub 2003/02/13.
26. Terkelsen C.J. The reperfusion *ST*-peak in acute myocardial infarction. *J. Electrocardiol.* 2011, 44 (1), 82–83. Epub 2010/12/21.
27. Miida T., Oda H., Toeda T., Higuma N. Additional *ST*-segment elevation immediately after reperfusion and its effect on myocardial salvage in anterior wall acute myocardial infarction. *Am. J. Cardiol.* 1994, 73 (12), 851–855. Epub 1994/05/01.
28. Monassier J.P. Reperfusion injury in acute myocardial infarction: from bench to cath lab. Part II: Clinical issues and therapeutic options. *Arch. Cardiovasc. Dis.* 2008, 101 (9), 565–575. Epub 2008/12/02.
29. Xu Y., Huo Y., Toufektsian M.C. et al. Activated platelets contribute importantly to myocardial reperfusion injury. *Am. J. Physiol. Heart Circ. Physiol.* 2006, 290 (2), H692-H6929. Epub 2005/10/04.
30. Engler R.L., Schmid-Schonbein G.W., Pavelec R.S. Leukocyte- capillary plugging in myocardial ischemia and reperfusion in the dog. *Am. J. Pathol.* 1983, 111 (1), 98–111. Epub 1983/04/01.

Thrombosis of Non-Stenotic Coronary Arteries

S.A. Prozorov*

N.V. Sklifosovsky Research Institute of Emergency Medicine, Moscow, Russia

The treatment results of 4 patients with thrombosis of non-stenotic coronary arteries are reviewed. The conservative therapy was effective in all cases.

Key words: non-stenotic coronary arteries, coronary thrombosis, acute myocardial infarction.

* Address for correspondence:

Dr. Serguey Prozorov

Department of Endovascular Diagnosis and Treatment,

N.V. Sklifosovsky Research Institute of Emergency Medicine

3, B. Sukharevskaya Ploschad, Moscow, 129010, Russia

E-mail : surgeonserge@mail.ru

Phone: +7-495-620-10-81 (office), +7-916-827-06-32 (mob.)

References

1. Apostolakis E., Tsigkas G., Baikoussis N.G. et al. Acute left main coronary artery thrombosis due to cocaine use. *J. Cardiothorac. Surg.* 2010, 19 (5), 65.
2. Bouabdallaoui N., Mouquet F., Ennezat P.V. Acute myocardial infarction with normal coronary arteries associated with subclinical Graves disease. *Am. J. Emerg. Med.* 2013, 31 (12), 1721.e1–e2.
3. Chong F., Cox N., Lim Y. Thrombus aspiration alone: a potential strategy in ST elevation myocardial infarction intervention. *Heart Lung Circ.* 2011, 20 (11), 724–725.
4. Dagdelen S., Soydine S., Ergelen M., Caglar N. Resolution of a spontaneous coronary artery thrombus with a new antiplatelet agent. *Int. Angiol.* 2001, 20 (3), 244–247.
5. Duchene C., Olindo S., Chausson N. etc. Infarctus cerebral et myocardique apres consommation de cannabis chez une femme jeune. *Rev. Neurol. (Paris)*. 2010, 166 (4), 438–442.
6. Gaspar J., Benchimol C., Gadelha T., Penna G.L. Arterial thrombosis and acute myocardial infarction with angiographically normal coronary arteries in a woman heterozygous for both factor V Leiden and prothrombin mutation. *Rev. Port. Cardiol.* 2011, 30 (9), 727–729.
7. Germing A., Mugge A., Lindstaedt M. Recurrent myocardial ischemia due to riding left main coronary artery bifurcation thrombus-noninterventional therapy with glycoprotein blocker and thrombolysis. *Cardiovasc. Revasc. Med.* 2006, 7 (2), 76–80.
8. Kadowaki K., Sato T., Abe Y. et al. A case of acute myocardial infarction following intracoronary thrombi caused by coronary artery spasm. *Kokyu To Junkan.* 1992, 40 (7), 705–708.
9. Karanasos A., Tsiamis E., Stefanadis C. Myocardial infarction caused by ostial right coronary artery thrombus in the absence of atheromatosis. *J. Invasive Cardiol.* 2012, 24 (9), 188–189.

10. Ozeren A., Aydin M., Ozkökeli M. et al. Treatment of intracoronary thrombus using tirofiban in a patient with normal coronary arteries. *Jpn. Heart J.* 2004, 45 (2), 343–346.
11. Poyet R., Cuisset T., Bali L. et al. Coronary wall characteristics after myocardial infarction without significant coronary angiographic lesion: an intravascular ultrasound study. *Acta Cardiol.* 2010, 65 (6), 627–630.
12. Roule V., Sabatier R., Lognoné T. et al. Thrombus in normal coronary arteries: retrospective study and review of case reports. *Arch. Cardiovasc. Dis.* 2011, 104 (4), 216–226.
13. Sayin M.R., Akpınar I., Karabag T. et al. Left main coronary artery thrombus resulting from combined protein C and S deficiency. *Intern. Med.* 2012, 51 (21), 3041–3044.
14. Serrano C.V.Jr., Ramires J.A., Gebara O.C. et al. Acute myocardial infarction after simultaneous thrombosis in normal right and left coronary arteries. *Clin. Cardiol.* 1991, 14 (15), 436–438.
15. Suzuki N., Hiasa Y., Miyazaki S. et al. Acute myocardial infarction caused by simultaneous occlusion of the right coronary artery and the left anterior descending coronary artery probably due to coronary spasm: a case report. *J. Cardiol.* 2005, 45 (5), 213–217.

A Case of Successful Closure of Coronary-pulmonary Fistula with a Stent Graft

V.V. Plechev, I.E. Nikolaeva, I.V. Buzaev, I.R. Mustafin*, I.E. Yamanaeva

Republican Cardiology Center, Ufa, Bashkortostan, Russia

Coronary-pulmonary fistula leading to pulmonary hypertension can have a severe negative effect of the condition of patients. The authors suggest the use of stent-grafts for such fistulae closure.

Key words: coronary-pulmonary fistula, pulmonary hypertension, stent-graft.

* Address for correspondence:

Dr. Ilfir Mustafin

Department of X-ray Diagnostic and Treatment Methods,

Republican Cardiology Center

96, St. Kuvykina str., Ufa, 450106

E-mail: don-ilfir@mail.ru

References

1. Burakovskiy V.I., Bockeria L.A. Cardiovascular Surgery. M., Meditsina, 1996, 340–348, 359–362. (In Russian)
2. Wanes C.A., Williams R.G., Bashore T.M. et al. ACC/AHA 2008. Guidelines for the Management of adult with Congenital Heart Disease: report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines (writing committee to develop guidelines on the management of adult with congenital heart disease). *Circulation*. 2008, 118 (23): 714–833.
3. Valente A.M., Lock J.E., Gauvreau K. Predictors of long-term adverse outcomes in patient with congenital coronary artery fistulae. *Circulation: cardiovascular interventions*. 2010, 3 (2), 134–139.
4. Chirantan V. Mangukia. Coronary artery fistula. *Ann. Thorac. Surg.* 2012, 93, 2084–2092.
5. Said S.M., Burkhart H.M., Schaff H.V. Late outcome of repair of congenital coronary artery fistulas – a word of caution. *J. Thorac. Cardiovasc. Surg.* 2013, 145, 455–460.
6. Bauer H.H., Allmendinger P.D., Flaherty J., Owlia D. et al. Congenital coronary arteriovenous fistula: spontaneous rupture and cardiac tamponade. *Ann. Thorac. Surg.* 1996, 62, 1521–1523.
7. Dimitrakakis G., Wheeler R., Von Oppell U. et al. Surgical management of a double coronary cameral fistula associated with mitral and tricuspid regurgitation, patent foramen ovale, and chronic atrial fibrillation. *Heart Surg. Forum*. 2008, 11, 209–212.
8. Bockeria L.A., Podzolkov V.P., Alekhan B.G., Makhachev O.A. ICVT on-line discussion. A coronary artery fistulae: history and surgical experience. *Interact. CardioVasc. Thorac. Surg.* 2007, 6, 414–415.
9. Bockeria L.A., Mironenko V.A., Bockeria O.L. et al. The combination of the correction of multiple arteriovenous malformations and coronary-right ventricular fistulas with

cryoablation of arrhythmogenic areas of the right ventricle outflow tract. *Thorac. and CardioVasc. Surg.* 2009, 1, 61–66. (In Russian)

Chronic Heart Failure in Patients with Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus

S.V. Kakorin^{1*}, I.A. Averkova², A.M. Mkrtumyan²

¹ Moscow City Clinical Hospital No 4

² Evdokimov Moscow State University of Medicine and Dentistry, Moscow, Russia

We present a literature review of prevalence, pathogenesis, and prognosis of chronic heart failure (CHF) in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM). Diabetes and CHF acquire the status of the epidemic of the XXI century and require health care costs for prevention and treatment of these diseases. Application of modern pharmacological preparations and instrumental treatment of cardiovascular diseases (CVDs) increases life expectancy and significantly improves the quality of life of patients with CHF both with normal carbohydrate metabolism (CM) and with T2DM. However, the risk of cardiovascular mortality (CVM) in patients with T2DM compared to those with normal CM remains unchanged. The rapidly growing population of patients with T2DM will soon change a recently established idea of better CVD treatment outcomes. Violation of myocardial remodelling in T2DM is caused by a combination of factors associated with diabetic cardiomyopathy, reduction of the metabolic activity of cardiomyocytes, insufficient glucose transport into cells, endothelial dysfunction, diabetic macro- and microangiopathy myocardial fibrosis leading to disruption of filling the left ventricle and the development of CHF. Insulin resistance (IR) and compensatory hyperinsulinemia (HI) play a key role in the pathogenesis of T2DM. To improve the results of treatment aimed at risk reduction of CHF development in patients with T2DM and impaired glucose tolerance (IGT), it is necessary to achieve the traditional primary objective, i.e. glycemic control. Since IR and compensatory HI play a key role in the T2DM pathogenesis and are closely associated with the risk of arterial hypertension (AH) and CVDs due to atherosclerosis, the treatment of patients with T2DM and IGT and CHF should involve the drugs affecting IR.

Key words: type 2 diabetes mellitus, chronic heart failure, cardiovascular mortality, hyperglycemia, glycated hemoglobin, insulin resistance, hyperinsulinemia.

** Address for correspondence:*

Dr. Sergey Kakorin

Moscow City Clinical Hospital No 4

25, Pavlovskaya str., Moscow, 115093

E-mail: kakorin-s@yandex.ru

References

1. Bauters C., Lamblin N., Mc Fadden E.P. et al. Influence of diabetes mellitus on heart failure risk and outcome. *Cardiovasc. Diabetol.* 2003, 2, 1.
2. Danielyan M.O. Outcome and treatment of the chronic heart failure (20-year follow-up data). Avtoreferat (author's thesis abstract), PhD in Medicine, Moscow, 2001. (In Russian)
3. Owan T.E., Hodge D.O., Herges R.M. et al. Trends in prevalence and outcome of heartfailure with preserved ejection fraction. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 2006, 355 (3), 251–259.

4. Mareev V.Yu., Danielyan M.O., Belenkov Yu.N. On behalf of EPOHA–O–HSN working group. Comparative characteristics of CHF patients depending on EF results from the Russian multicenter study EPOHA–O–HSN. *Serdechnaya nedostatochnost (Cardiac Failure)*, 2006, 7 (4), 164–171. (In Russian)
5. National recommendations of the Russian Scientific Society of Cardiology and Society of Experts on Cardiac Failure on diagnostics and treatment of CHF (third revision). *Serdechnaya nedostatochnost (Cardiac Failure)*, 2010, 11, 1 (57), 3–62. (In Russian)
6. Mayorov A.Yu. 71st Annual Meeting of American Diabetology Association (ADA), *Sakharniy diabet (Diabetes mellitus)*, 2011, 3, 120–121. (In Russian)
7. Dedov I.I. Innovational technologies in treatment and prevention of the diabetes mellitus and its complications. *Sakharniy diabet (Diabetes mellitus)*, 2013, 3, 2–10. (In Russian)
8. Mareev V.Yu., Ageev F.T., Arutyunov G.P. et al. National recommendations of the Russian Scientific Society of Cardiology and Society of Experts on Cardiac Failure on diagnostics and treatment of CHF (third revision) (approved at the Society of Experts on Cardiac Failure meeting on December 15, 2009). *Serdechnaya nedostatochnost (Cardiac Failure)*, 2010, 11, 1, 3–62. (In Russian)
9. Gray L.K., Smyth K.A., Palmer R.M. et al. Heterogeneity in older people: examining physiologic failure, age, and comorbidity. *J. Am. Geriatr. Soc.* 2002, 50 (12), 1955–1961.
10. Bayliss E.A., Bayliss M.S., Ware J.E., Jr., Steiner J.F. Predicting declines in physical function in persons with multiple chronic medical conditions: what we can learn from the medical problem list. *Health Qual. Life Outcomes.* 2004, 2, 47.
11. Wolff J.L., Starfield B., Anderson G. Prevalence, expenditures, and complications of multiple chronic conditions in the elderly. *Arch. Intern. Med.* 2002, 162 (20), 2269–2276.
12. Lien C.T., Gillespie N.D., Struthers A.D., McMurdo M.E. Heart failure in frail elderly patients: diagnostic difficulties, co-morbidities, polypharmacy and treatment dilemmas. *Eur. J. Heart Fail.* 2002, 4 (1), 91–98.
13. van der Wel M.C., Jansen R.W., Bakx J.C. et al. Non-cardiovascular co-morbidity in elderly patients with heart failure outnumbers cardiovascular comorbidity. *Eur. J. Heart Fail.* 2007, 9 (6–7), 709–715.
14. Standi E. Aetiology and consequences of the metabolic syndrome. *Eur. Heart J.* 2005, 7, 10–13.
15. Clark C., Perry R. Type 2 diabetes and macrovascular disease: epidemiology and etiology. *Am. Heart J.* 1999, 138, 330–333.
16. Malmberg K., Yusuf S., Gerstein H.C. et al. Impact of diabetes on long-term prognosis in patients with unstable angina and non-Q-wave myocardial infarction: results of the OASIS (Organization to Assess Strategies for Ischemic Syndromes) Registry. *Circulation.* 2000, 102 (9), 1014–1019.
17. Ryden L., Armstrong P., Cleland J., Horowitz J. et al. Efficacy and safety of high-dose lisinopril in chronic heart failure patients at high cardiovascular risk, including those with diabetes mellitus. Results from the ATLAS trial. *Eur. Heart J.* 2000, 21, 1967–1978.
18. Panova E.I. Myocardial infarction and type 2 diabetes mellitus; some features of the combined pathology. *Nizhegorodskiy Meditsinskiy Zhurnal (Medical Journal of Nizhny Novgorod)*, 2008, 1, 10–14. (In Russian)

19. Bauters C., Lamblin N., Fadden E.R. et al. Influence of diabetes mellitus in heart failure risk an outcome. *Cardiovasc. Diabetol.* 2003, 2, 1.
20. Litaeva T.Yu. Chronic heart failure in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus: prevalence, diagnostics and treatment features. *Avtoreferat (author's thesis abstract)*, PhD in Medicine, Orenburg, 2011, p. 26. (In Russian)
21. Fomin I.V., Mareev V.Yu., Ageev F.T. et al. Diabetes mellitus as an etiological cause of CHF in the European part of the Russian Federation (EPOHA–HSN trial, in-hospital stage). *Serdechnaya nedostatochnost (Cardiac Failure)*, 2012, 13, 1 (69), 3–9. (In Russian)
22. Goode K.M., John J., Rigby A.S. et al. Elevated glycated haemoglobin is a strong predictor of mortality in patients with left ventricular systolic dysfunction who are not receiving treatment for diabetes mellitus. *Heart.* 2009, 95 (11), 917–923. Epub 2009 Feb 19.
23. Cleland J.G., Swedberg K., Follath F. et al. The EuroHeart Failure survey programme a survey on the quality of care among patients with heart failure in Europe. Part 1: patients characteristics and diagnosis. *Eur. Heart J.* 2003, 24 (5), 442–463.
24. Lindsay M.M., Maxwell P., Dunn F.G. TIMP-1: a marker of left ventricular diastolic dysfunction and fibrosis in hypertension. *Hypertension.* 2002, 40, 136–141.
25. Ageev F.T. Diastolic heart failure: 10-year presentation. *Serdechnaya nedostatochnost (Cardiac Failure)*, 2010, 11, 1 (57), 69–76. (In Russian)
26. Wu A.H., Smith A., Wiecek S. et al. Biological variation for N-terminal pro- and B-type natriuretic peptides and implications for therapeutic monitoring of patients with congestive heart failure. *Am. J. Cardiol.* 2003, 92, 628–631.
27. Ageev F.T., Danielyan M.O., Mareev V.Yu. et al. Patients with chronic heart failure in the Russian out-patient settings: features of population, diagnostics, and treatment (based on the EPOHA-O-HSN results). *Serdechnaya nedostatochnost (Cardiac Failure)*, 2004, 5 (1), 4–7. (In Russian)
28. Fomin I.V. Arterial hypertension in the Russian Federation over the past 10 years. What is next? *Serdts (Heart)*, 2007, 6, 3 (35), 120–122.
29. Fomin I.V. Epidemiology of the chronic heart failure in the European part of the Russian Federation. *Chronic heart failure.* Moscow, GEOTAR – Media, 2010, 5–18. (In Russian)
30. Jessup M., Brozena S. Heart failure. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 2003, 348 (20), 2007–2018.
31. Redfield M.M., Jacobsen S.J., Burnett J.C. et al. Burden of systolic and diastolic ventricular dysfunction in the community: appreciating the scope of the heart failure epidemic. *JAMA.* 2003, 289 (2), 194–202.
32. Tschope C., Kasner M., Westermann D. et al. The role of NT-proBNP in the diagnostics of isolated diastolic dysfunction: correlation with echocardiographic and invasive measurements. *Eur. Heart J.* 2005, 26 (21), 2277–2284.
33. Solomon S.D., St. John Sutton M., Lamas G.A. et al. Ventricular remodeling does not accompany the development of heart failure in diabetic patients after myocardial infarction. *Circulation.* 2002, 106, 1251.
34. Solomakhina N.I. Cardiac and extracardiac co-morbidity in elderly and geriatric patients with systolic and diastolic CHF. *Serdechnaya nedostatochnost (Cardiac Failure)*, 2009, 6, 298–303. (In Russian).
35. De Groote P., Lamblin N., Mouquet F. et al. Impact of diabetes mellitus on long-term survival in patients with congestive heart failure. *Eur. Heart J.* 2004, 25 (8), 656–662.

36. Detry J. The pathophysiology of myocardial ischaemia. *Eur. Heart J.* 1996, 17 (1), 48–52.
37. Ewing D. Cardiac autonomic neuropathy. In: Jarret R., ed. *Diabetes and heart disease*. Amsterdam: Elsevier, 1984, 99–132.
38. Schonauer M., Thomas A., Morbach S., Niebauer J. et al. Cardiac autonomic diabetic neuropathy. *Diabetes Vasc. Dis. Res.* 2008, 5, 336–344.
39. Vertkin A.L. Diabetic autonomic neuropathy: diagnostics and treatment. *Klinich. farmakologiya I farmakoterapiya (Clinical pharmacology and pharmacotherapy)*, 2004, 6, 4, 14–16. (In Russian)
40. Vinik A., Freeman R., Erbas T. Diabetic autonomic neuropathy. *Semin. Neurol.* 2003, 23, 365–372.
41. Vinik A., Ziegler D. Diabetic cardiovascular autonomic neuropathy. *Circulation.* 2007, 115, 387–397.
42. Botova S.N. Diagnostic and prognostic significance of cardiovascular autonomic neuropathy in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus combined with chronic heart failure. Nizhny Novgorod, 2009. (In Russian)
43. Spallone V., Ziegler D., Freeman R. et al. Cardiovascular autonomic neuropathy in diabetes: clinical impact, assessment, diagnosis, and management. *Diabetes / Metabolism Research and Reviews.* 2011, 27 (7), 639–653.
44. Vinik A., Maser R., Mitchell B., Freeman R. Diabetic Auto-nomic Neuropathy. *Diabetes Care.* 2003, 26 (5), 1553–1579.
45. Young L., Wackers F., Chyun D. et al. Cardiac outcomes after screening for asymptomatic coronary artery disease in patients with type 2 diabetes: the DIAD study: a randomized controlled trial. *JAMA.* 2009, 301, 1547–1555.
46. Podachina S.V. From classical therapy of diabetic neuro-pathy to solving the problem of hyperglycemic memory. *Effective pharmacotherapy. Endocrinology*, 2012, 1. 48–52. (In Russian)
47. Brownlee M. The pathobiology of diabetic complications: a unifying mechanism. *Diabetes.* 2005, 54 (6), 1615–1625.
48. Barzilay J.I., Kronmal R.A., Bittner V. et al. Coronary artery disease and coronary artery bypass grafting in diabetic patients aged >65 years (Report from the coronary artery surgery study (CASS) registry). *Am. J. Cardiol.* 1994, 74 (4), 334–339.
49. Dedov I.I., Aleksandrov An.A. Problems of acute myocardial infarction in patients with diabetes mellitus: Munich echo. *Sakharniy diabet (Diabetes mellitus)*, 2008, 1, 4–10. (In Russian)
50. Valensi P., Pariès J., Brulport-Cerisier V. et al. Predictive value of silent myocardial ischemia for cardiac events in diabetic patients: influence of age in a French multicenter study. *Diabetes Care.* 2005, 28 (11), 2722–2727.
51. Sima A.A., Thomas P.K., Ishii D., Vinik A. Diabetic neuropathies. *Diabetologia.* 1997, 40, Suppl. 3, B74–B77.
52. Strongin L.G., Pochinka I.G., Aleynik D.Ya., Charykova I.N. Survival predictors in patients with chronic heart failure and type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Sakharniy diabet (Diabetes mellitus)*, 2004, (4), 14–18. (In Russian)

53. Strongin L.G., Botova S.N., Pochinka I.G. Prognostic value of cardiovascular autonomic neuropathy in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus combined with chronic heart failure. *Kardiologija (Cardiology)*, 2010, (2), 26–29. (In Russian)
54. Fonseca V., Desouza C., Asnani S., Jialal I. Nontraditional Risk Factors for Cardiovascular Disease in Diabetes. *Endocr. Rev.* 2004, 25 (1), 153–175.
55. Severina A.S., Shestakova M.V. Hemostasis disturbances in patients with diabetes mellitus. *Sakharniy diabet (Diabetes mellitus)*, 2004, (1), 62–67. (In Russian)
56. Pochinka I.G. Peculiarities of tumour necrosis factor production in patients with chronic heart failure and type 2 diabetes mellitus. Collection of articles of X interregional cardiology forum. Nizhny Novgorod, 2006, 135–138. (In Russian)
57. Fang Z.Y., Prins J.B., Marwick T.H. Diabetic Cardiomyopathy: Evidence, Mechanisms, and Therapeutic Implications. *Endocr. Rev.* 2004, 25 (4), 543–567.
58. MacDonald M.R., Petrie M.C., Hawkins N.M. et al. Diabetes, left ventricular systolic dysfunction and chronic heart failure. *Eur. Heart J.* 2008, 29 (10), 1224–1240. Epub 2008 Apr 18.
59. Vaur L., Gueret P., Lievre M. et al. Development of congestive heart failure in type 2 diabetic patients with microalbuminuria or proteinuria: observations from the Diabhycar (type 2 DIABetes Hypertension Cardiovascular Events and Ramipril) study. *Diabetes care.* 2003, 26, 855–860.
60. MacDonald M.R., Petrie M.C., Hawkins N. et al. Diabetes, left ventricular systolic dysfunction and chronic heart failure. *Eur. Heart J.* 2008, 29, 1224–1240.
61. Nichols G., Hillier T.A., Erbey J.R. et al. Congestive heart failure in type 2 diabetes: prevalence, incidence and risk factors. *Diabetes Care.* 2001, 24, 1614–1619.
62. Kakorin S.V., Sangar K.A., Bochkov P.A. Acute coronary syndrome complicated with acute heart failure in patients with carbohydrate metabolism disorders. Abstracts of the V All-Russian Forum “Emergency Cardiology Issues – 2012”. November 28–29, 2012, Moscow, 19. (In Russian)
63. Belenkov Yu.N., Mareev V.Yu., Ageev F.T. Danielyan M.O. Registry of A.L. Myasnikov Scientific Research Institute of Cardiology, 2002. (In Russian)
64. Aleksandrov A.A., Bondarenko I.Z., Kukharenko S.S. et al., Diabetes mellitus and coronary heart disease: solving research. *Sakharniy diabet (Diabetes mellitus)*, 2005, 3, 34–38. (In Russian)
65. Bilchenko A.V. Diabetes mellitus and cardiovascular diseases, *Zhurnal Liki Ukraini (Ukrainian Drugs)*, 2009, 4 (130), 78–81 (In Russian)
66. Dries D.L., Sweitzer N.K., Drazner M.H. et al. Prognostic impact of diabetes mellitus in patients with heart failure according to the aetiology of left ventricular systolic dysfunction. *J. Am. Coll. Cardiol.* 2001, 38 (2), 421–428.
67. Kamalesh M., Subramanian U., Sawada S. et al. Decreased survival in diabetic patients with heart failure due to systolic dysfunction. *Eur. J. Heart Fail.* 2006, 8 (4), 404–408.
68. Picano E. Diabetic cardiomyopathy. The importance of being earliest. *J. Am. Coll. Cardiol.* 42, 454, 2003.
69. Standl E., Schnell O. A new look at the heart in diabetes mellitus: From ailing to failing. *Diabetologia.* 2000, 43, 1455.
70. Balabolkin M.I. *Endocrinology. M., Universum Publishing, 1994. (In Russian)*

71. Superko H.R., Krauss R.M., DiRicco C. Effect of fluvastatin on low-density lipoprotein peak particle diameter. *Am. J. Cardiol.* 1997, 80 (1), 78–81.
72. Sasso F.C., Torella D., Carbonara O. et al. Increased vascular endothelial growth factor expression but impaired vascular endothelial growth factor receptor signaling in the myocardium of type 2 diabetic patients with chronic coronal disease. *J. Am. Coll. Cardiol.* 2005, 46, 827.
73. Mazzone T., Chait A., Plutzky J. Cardiovascular disease risk in type 2 diabetes mellitus: insights from mechanistic studies. *Lancet.* 2008, May 24, 371 (9626):1800–1809. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(08)60768-0.
74. Jialal I., Amess W., Kaur M. Management of hypertriglyceridemia in the diabetic patient. *Curr. Diab. Rep.* 2010, 10 (4), 316–320. doi: 10.1007/s11892-010-0124-4.
75. Grant P.J. Diabetes mellitus as a prothrombotic condition. *J. Intern. Med.* 2007, 262 (2), 157–172.
76. Lemkes B.A., Hermanides J., Devries J.H. et al. Hyper-glycemia: a prothrombotic factor? *J. Thromb. Haemost.* 2010, 8 (8), 1663–1669. doi: 10.1111/j.1538-7836.2010.03910.x.
77. Raitakari M., Ilvonen T., Ahotupa M. et al. Weight reduction with very-low-caloric diet and endothelial function in overweight adults: role of plasma glucose. *Arterioscler. Thromb. Vasc. Biol.* 2004, 24, 124–131.
78. Werner A., Travaglini M. A review of rosiglitazone in type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Pharmacotherapy.* 2001, 21 (9), 1082–1099.
79. Bartnik M., Ryden L., Ferrari R. et al. Euro Heart Survey Investigators. The prevalence of abnormal glucose regulation in patients with coronary artery disease across Europe. *Eur. Heart J.* 2004, 25, 1880–1890.
80. Kowalska I., Prokop J., Bachorzewska-Gajewska H. et al. Disturbances of glucose metabolism in men referred for coronary angiography. *Diabet. Care.* 2001, 24, 897–901.
81. Anselmino M., Bartnik M., Malmberg K., Rydén L. Management of coronary artery disease in patients with and without diabetes mellitus. Acute management reasonable but secondary prevention unacceptably poor: a report from the Euro Heart Survey on Diabetes and the Heart. *Eur. J. Cardiovasc. Prev. Rehabil.* 2007, 14, 28–36.
82. Severina A.S., Galitsina N.A., Parfenova E.V. et al. Role of endothelial dysfunction in diabetic macroangiopathies. M., 2007. (In Russian)
83. Favaro E., Miceli I., Bussolati B., Schimitt-Ney M. Hyper-glycemia Induces Apoptosis of Human Pancreatic Islet Endothelial Cells . *Am. J. Pathol.* 2008, 173 (2), 442–450.
84. Dandona P., Chaudhuri A., Ghanim H. Acute Myocardial Infarction, Hyperglycemia, and Insulin. *J. Am. Coll. Cardiol.* 2009, 53, 1437–1439.
85. Hong Z., GuoLiang L., QiuYue W. et al. Effect of ghrelin on human endothelial cells apoptosis induced by high glucose. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Comm.* 2007, 362, 677–681.
86. Sheu M., Ho F., Yang R. et al. High glucose induces human endothelial cell apoptosis through a phosphoinositide 3-kinase-regulated cyclooxygenase-2 pathway. *Arterio-scler. Throm. Vasc. Biol.* 2005, 25, 539–547.
87. Goldfine A.B., Beckman J.A., Betensky R.A. et al. Family history of diabetes is a major determinant of endothelial function. *J. Am. Coll. Cardiol.* 2006, 47, 2456.

88. Zhang H.-F., Xie S.-L., Wang J.-F. et al. Tumor necrosis factor- α G-308A gene polymorphism and coronary heart disease susceptibility: An updated meta-analysis. *Thromb. Res.* 2011, 127 (5), 400–405.
89. Nichols G., Gullion C., Koro C. et al. The incidence of congestive heart failure in type 2 diabetes: an update. *Diabetes Care.* 2004, 27, 1879–1884.
90. van Melle J.P., Bot M., de Jonge P. et al. Diabetes, Glycemic Control, and New-Onset Heart Failure in Patients With Stable Coronary Artery Disease. *Diabetes Care.* 2010, 33 (9), 2084–2089.
91. Gerstein H.C., Swedberg K., Carlsson J. et al. CHARM Program Investigators. The hemoglobin A1c level as a progressive risk factor for cardiovascular death, hospitalization for heart failure, or death in patients with chronic heart failure: an analysis of the Candesartan in Heart failure: Assessment of Reduction in Mortality and Morbidity (CHARM) program. *Arch. Intern. Med.* 2008, 168 (15), 1699–1704.
92. Strongin L.G., Pochinka I.G., Konyshva M.S., Glycemic control and course of chronic heart failure in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus, *Sakharniy diabet (Diabetes mellitus)* 2012, 2, 17–21. (In Russian)
93. Aguilar D., Bozkurt B., Ramasubbu K., Deswal A. Relationship of hemoglobin A1C and mortality in heart failure patients with diabetes. *J. Am. Coll. Cardiol.* 2009, 54 (5), 422–428.
94. Strongin L.G., Pochinka I.G., Aleynik D.Ya., Charykova I.N. Survival predictors in patients with chronic heart failure and type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Sakharniy diabet (Diabetes mellitus)*, 2004, 4, 14–18. (In Russian)
95. Saely C.H., Drexel H., Sourij H. et al. Key role of postchallenge hyperglycemia for the presence and extent of coronary atherosclerosis: An angiographic Study. *Athero-scle-rosis.* 2008, 199 (2), 317–322. Epub 2008 Jan 9.
96. Bartnik M., Ryden L., Ferrari R. et al. Euro Heart Survey Investigators. The prevalence of abnormal glucose regulation in patients with coronary artery disease across Europe. *Eur. Heart J.* 2004, 25, 1880–1890.
97. Bartnik M. Glucose regulation and coronary artery disease: Studies on prevalence recognition and prognostic implication. Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, 2005.
98. Kakorin S.V., Erlikh A.D., Kalinkina A.A. Decompensation level of carbohydrate metabolism in patients with acute coronary syndrome and obvious/newly diagnosed type 2 diabetes mellitus (Moscow acute coronary syndrome registry). Abstracts of the VI All-Russian Forum “Emergency Cardiology - 2013”. Modern treatment approaches of acute coronary syndrome. November 28-29, 2013, Moscow, 2013, 22. (In Russian)
99. Smith N.L., Barzilay J.K., Shaffer D. et al. Fasting and 2-hour postchallenge serum glucose measures and risk of incident cardiovascular events in the elderly: the Cardiovascular Health Study. *Arch. Intern. Med.* 2002, 162, 209–216.
100. Temelkova-Kurktschiev T., Henkel E., Koehler C. et al. Subclinical inflammation in newly detected type II diabetes and impaired glucose tolerance. *Diabetologia.* 2002, 45, 151–156.
101. Ceriello A., Esposito K., Piconi L. et al. Oscillating glucose is more deleterious to endothelial function and oxidative stress than mean glucose in normal and type 2 diabetic patients. *Diabetes.* 2008, 57, 1349–1354.

102. van Melle J.P., Bot M., de Jonge P. et al. Diabetes, Glycemic Control, and New-Onset Heart Failure in Patients With Stable Coronary Artery Disease. *Diabetes Care*. 2010, 33 (9), 2084–2089.
103. Midaoui A., Champlain J. Effects of glucose and insulin on the development of oxidative stress and hypertension in animal models of type 1 and type 2 diabetes. *Hypertension*. 2005, 23, 3, 581–588.
104. Aguilar D., Bozkurt B., Ramasubbu K., Deswal A. Relationship of hemoglobin A1C and mortality in heart failure patients with diabetes. *J. Am. Coll. Cardiol*. 2009, 54 (5), 422–428.
105. Lexis C.P.H., Rahel B.M., Meeder J.G. et al. *Cardiovascular Diabetology*. 2009, 8, 41. doi:10.1186/1475-2840-8-41.