

INTERVENTIONAL CARDIOLOGY

First Experience of Retrograde Myocardial Perfusion for Endovascular Correction of Complex Lesions of the Anterior Coronary System in Patients with Acute Coronary Syndrome

E.B. Shakhov, B.E. Shakhov, D.V. Petrov, A.Ya. Kosonogov, K.A. Kosonogov, D.V. Volkov, A.S. Novikov

Balloon Valvuloplasty of Stenosed Tricuspid Valve Bioprosthesis (A clinical case)

V.V. Plechev, I.E. Nikolaeva, I.A. Nagaev, A.R. Galiakberov, G.A. Khalimova, V.A. Surkov, M.Kh. Lukmanov, I.V. Buzaev

Results of Endovascular Interventions in Patients with Hibernating Myocardium

V.Ya. Rudman, A.V. Patrikeev, D.A. Maksimkin, V.Yu. Baranovich, A.G. Faybushevich, A.V. Mambetov, Z.Kh. Shugushev

ENDOVASCULAR DIAGNOSIS

Optical Coherence Tomography for Evaluation of Coronary Stents

D.A. Asadov, O.E. Sukhorukov, D.S. Kurtasov, I.A. Kovalchuk, A.N. Rogatova

CARDIAC SURGERY

Strategy of Coronary Artery Bypass Grafting for Arteries with Moderate (<75%) Stenosis being a Part of Multivessel Disease during Direct Myocardial Revascularization

T.R. Rafaeli, I.V. Isaeva, A.N. Pankov, A.L. Rodionov, S.A. Glembo, R.Yu. Popov, A.V. Stepanov, D.A. Asadov, A.A. Kiryaev, Kh.I. Mindjya, T.R. Djordjikya

Papillary Fibroelastoma. (Successful Removal of the Rare Type of Papillary Fibroelastoma from the Pulmonary Valve)

T.R. Rafaeli, I.V. Isaeva, R.Yu. Popov, A.N. Rogatova, A.V. Stepanov, L.S. Barats, A.A. Kiryaev, A.N. Pankov, A.L. Rodionov, A.Z. Chachev

MISCELLANEOUS

On Summated Assessment of the State of the Coronary Bed in Ischaemic Heart Disease Cases

Yu.S. Petrosyan, D.G. Iosseliani

First Experience of Retrograde Myocardial Perfusion for Endovascular Correction of Complex Lesions of the Anterior Coronary System in Patients with Acute Coronary Syndrome

E.B. Shakhov1,2, B.E. Shakhov2, D.V. Petrov1, A.Ya. Kosonogov1, K.A. Kosonogov1, D.V. Volkov1, A.S. Novikov1*

1 City Clinical Hospital No 5,

2Nizhniy Novgorod State Medical Academy, Nizhniy Novgorod, Russia

Support of coronary blood flow during endovascular correction of complex coronary lesions is the principal objective. The patients aged from 45 to 68 y.o. were included in our study. To support the blood supply of left ventricle during correction of complex lesions of the anterior coronary system, retrograde perfusion of the anterior left ventricle wall was performed.

Significant favorable impact of myocardial retroperfusion on the primary parameters of central hemodynamics including heart rate and systolic blood pressure was observed in all examined patients. The patients supported with retroperfusion demonstrated significant decrease in ST-elevation compared to non-retroperfusion electrocardiography data in thoracic leads.

Key words: retroperfusion, adjuvant blood supply, complex coronary lesions, endovascular surgery, acute coronary syndrome.

* Address for correspondence:

Evgeniy Borisovich Shakhov, PhD (Medicine)

Radiosurgeon at City Clinical Hospital No.5 of Nizhniy Novgorod

Belinskogo str., 64, app. 41, Nizhniy Novgorod, 603000, Russia

Phone. 8-910-79-550-79

E-mail: es-ngma@yandex.ru

References

1. Markov V. A., Demyanov S.V., Vyshlov E. V. Pharmacoinvasive strategy for patients with ST-elevation myocardial infarction: real clinical practice in Tomsk. SMZh (Journal of forensic medicine) (Tomsk), 2011, 4-1, 126–129. (In Russian)
2. ACC/AHA Guidelines for the Management of Patients with Unstable Angina and Non-ST-Segment Elevation Myocardial Infarction. A Report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines (Committee on the Management of Patients with Unstable Angina). JACC, 2000, 36, 970–1062.
3. Hoef van de T.P., Nolte F., Delewi R. et al. Intracoronary Hemodynamic Effects of Pressure-Controlled Intermittent Coronary Sinus Occlusion (PICSO): Results from the First-In-Man Prepare PICSO Study. J. Intervent. Cardiol., 2012, 6, 25, 549–556.
4. Whitlow P.L., Muhammad K.I. Chronic Total Coronary Occlusion Percutaneous Intervention. The Case for Randomized Trials. J. Am. Coll. Cardiol. Intv., 2011, 4 (9), 962–964.
5. Christofferson R.D., Lehmann K.G., Martin G.V. et al. Effect of chronic total coronary occlusion on treatment strategy. Am J Cardiol. 95 2005:1088–1091
6. Kononov A.V., Kostyanov I.Yu., Kuznetsova I.E. et al. Left main coronary artery stenting in patients with various types of coronary heart disease: immediate and long-term results. International journal of interventional cardiology, 2013, No. 32, pp. 26–33.
7. Hildick-Smith D., De Belder A.J., Cooter N. et al. Randomized Trial of Simple Versus Complex Drug-Eluting Stenting for Bifurcation Lesions. The British Bifurcation Coronary Study: Old, New, and Evolving Strategies. Circulation, 2010, 121, 1235–1243.
8. Casey C., Faxon D.P. Multi-vessel coronary disease and percutaneous coronary intervention. Heart, 2004, 90(3), 341–346.

9. ESC/EACTS Guidelines. Guidelines on myocardial revascularization/The Task Force on Myocardial Revascularization of the European Society of Cardiology and the European Association for Cardio-Thoracic Surgery (Developed with the special contribution of the European Association for Percutaneous Cardiovascular Interventions (EAPCI). *Europ. Heart J.*, 2010, 31, 2501–2555.
10. Almany S.L. Interventional in patient with LV dysfunction. PI 57 in *New manual of interventional radiology* by Mark Freed et al. – Birmingham, Michigan: Physicians T. Press, 1997.
11. ACC/AHA Guidelines for Percutaneous Coronary Intervention. PTCA Guidelines. *JACC*, 2001, 37, 8, 2239–2306.
12. Cardiology (Kardiologiya). National Guidelines. Edited by Belenkov Yu.N., Oganov R.G. M., GEOTAR-Media, 2008, pp. 1232 (Series “National Guidelines”). (In Russian)
13. Belov Yu.V., Varaksin V.A. Post-infarction remodeling of the left ventricle of the heart. From concept to surgical treatment. M., DeNovo, 2002, 55–87. (In Russian)
14. Shiller N.B., Osipov M.A. *Clinical echocardiography*. M., Praktika, 2005, 344. (In Russian)
15. Serruys P.W., Onuma Y., Garg S. et al. Assessment of the SYNTAX score in the Syntax study. *EuroIntervention*, 2009, 5, 50–56.
16. Petrov V.I., Nedogoda S.V. Evidence-based medicine: Uchebnoye posobie (Tutorial). M., Geotar-Media, 2009, 144 p. (In Russian)
17. Meerbaum S., Lang T.W., Osher J.V., et al. Diastolic retroperfusion of acutely ischemic myocardium. *Am. J. Cardiol.*, 1978, 41, 1191–1201.
18. Hammond G.L., Davies A.L., Austen W.G. Jr. Retrograde coronary sinus perfusion: a method of myocardial protection in the dog during left coronary artery occlusion. *Ann. Surg.*, 1967, 166, 39–47.
19. Weiner B.H., Gore J.M., Benotti J.R., et al. Preliminary experience with synchronized coronary sinus retroperfusion in humans. *Circulation*, 1986, 74, 381–388.
20. Kar S., Drury J.K., Hajduczki L. et al. Synchronized coronary venous retroperfusion for support and salvage of ischemic myocardium during elective and failed angioplasty. *J. Am. Coll. Cardiol.*, 1991, 18, 271–282.
21. Costantini C., Sampaolesi A., Serra C.M., et al. Coronary venous retroperfusion support during high risk coronary angioplasty in patients with unstable angina: preliminary experience. *J. Am. Coll. Cardiol.*, 1991, 18, 283–292.
22. Berland J., Farcot J.C., Barrier A. et al. Coronary venous synchronized retroperfusion during percutaneous transluminal coronary angioplasty of left anterior descending coronary artery. *Circulation*, 1990, 81 (suppl. IV), IV-35–42.
23. Hauser A.M., Gangadharan V., Ramos R.G., et al. Sequence of mechanical, electrocardiographic and clinical effects of repeated coronary artery occlusion in human beings: echocardiographic observations during coronary angioplasty. *J. Am. Coll. Cardiol.*, 1985, 5, 193–197.
24. Kishkun A.A. *Laboratory diagnostics guidelines*. Moscow, Geotar-Media, 2007, pp. 240–302. (In Russian)

Balloon Valvuloplasty of Stenosed Tricuspid Valve Bioprosthesis (A clinical case)

*V.V. Plechev, I.E. Nikolaeva, I.A. Nagaev, A.R. Galiakberov, G.A. Khalimova, V.A. Surkov, M.Kh. Lukmanov, I.V. Buzaev**

Republican Center of Cardiology, Ufa, Bashkortostan, Russia

We present a case of transluminal balloon valvuloplasty (TBVP) of tricuspid valve bioprosthesis with technical details of the procedure and data of examination at day 2, in 1 month and 6 months after the procedure. EchoCG revealed stenosis of tricuspid valve bioprosthesis with relevant clinical signs. After endovascular intervention cardiac indices and patient's condition improved. Positive dynamics persisted for 6 months. The signs of restenosis of tricuspid valve bioprosthesis appeared during the last month and have been confirmed by physical examination and EchoCG data.

Hence, transluminal balloon valvuloplasty of tricuspid valve bioprosthesis allows to achieve immediate positive results. In the presented case the results persisted for a rather short period (6 months).

Key words: valvuloplasty, balloon valvuloplasty, tricuspid valve bioprosthesis, stenosis of tricuspid valve bioprosthesis, restenosis, restenosis of bioprosthesis, restenosis of tricuspid valve bioprosthesis.

*** Address for correspondence:**

Dr. Igor Buzaev

Republican Center of Cardiology

ul. Stepana Kuvikina, 96, Ufa, Republic of Bashkortostan, 450000, Russia

Phone. (+7 347) 255-50-12

E-mail: igor@buzaev.com

References

1. Wren C., Hunter S. Ballon dilatation of a stenosedbioprosthesis in the tricuspid valve position. *BrHeartJ.* 1989 Jan; 61(1):65–67.
2. Attubato M.J., Stroh J.A. et al. Percutaneous double-balloon valvuloplasty of porcine bioprosthetic valves in the tricuspid position. *Cathet Cardiovasc Diagn.* 1990 Jul;20(3):202–204.
3. Block P.C., Smaling R., OwingsR.M. Percutaneous double balloon valvulotomy for bioprosthetic tricuspid stenosis. *CathetCardiovascDiagn* 1994 Dec, 33(4):342–344.
4. Koike G., Yamada A., Inou T.Y. et al. Percutaneous balloon valvuloplasty with a modified inoue balloon for stenosis of a porcine bioprosthesis in the tricuspid valve position. *Jpn Heart J* 1994 Nov,35(6): 809–812.
5. Feit F., Stecy P.J., Nachamie M.S. Percutaneous balloon valvuloplasty for stenosis of a porcine bioprosthesis in the tricuspid valve position. *Am J Cardiol* 58 1986: 363–365.
6. Benedick B.A., Davis S.F., Alderman E. Balloon valvuloplasty for fungal endocarditis induced stenosis of a bioprosthetic tricuspid valve. *CathetCardiovascDiagn* 1990 Dec 21(4): 248–251.
7. Eged M., Albouaini K., Morrison W.L. Balloon Valvuloplasty of stenosedbioprosthetic tricuspid valve. *Journal of the American Heart Association* 2006, 113: 745–747.

Results of Endovascular Interventions in Patients with Hibernating Myocardium

V.Ya. Rudman^{1,3*}, A.V. Patrikeev^{1,2}, D.A. Maksimkin^{1,2}, V.Yu. Baranovich^{1,2}, A.G. Faybushevich^{1,2}, A.V. Mambetov¹, Z.Kh. Shugushev^{1,2}

1 Peoples' Friendship University of Russia, Moscow

2 N.A. Semashko Central Clinical Hospital No 2 of Russian Railways Public Corporation, Moscow

3 Khabarovsk Territorial Hospital No 2, Ministry of Health of Khabarovsk Territory

Role and appropriateness of evaluation of myocardial vitality using dobutamine stress echocardiography in patients with post-infarction atherosclerosis during planning of endovascular interventions are determined. It is shown that restoration of coronary blood flow in the areas of viable myocardium promotes fast and better restoration of kinetics of hibernating myocardium, that generally increases efficacy of percutaneous coronary interventions in this population and decreases rate of unjustified endovascular interventions. The correlation between durations of hibernation and restoration of the left ventricle local kinetics is determined.

Key words: hibernating myocardium, myocardial vitality, percutaneous coronary interventions, post-infarction atherosclerosis.

* Address for correspondence:

Maksimkin Daniil Aleksandrovich

Medical faculty Peoples' Friendship University of Russia

117198 Moscow, Miklukho-Maklai str., 8, bld.1

Phone. +7-916-788-66-75

E-mail: danmed@bk.ru

References

1. Bashchinskiy S.E. Diagnostic value of assessment of left ventricle diastolic function using stress Doppler echocardiography in patients with coronary heart disease. *Kardiologiya*, 1991, 9, 28–31. (In Russian)
2. Allman K.C. Noninvasive assessment myocardial viability: current status and future directions. *J. Nucl. Cardiol.*, 2013, 20 (4), 618–637.
3. Jha S., Flamm S.D., Kwon D.H. Revascularization in heart failure in the post-STICH era. *Curr. Heart Fail.*, 2013, 10 (4), 365–372.
4. Lau J.M., Laforest R., Priatna A. et al. Demonstration of intermittent ischemia and stunning in hibernating myocardium. *J. Nucl. Cardiol.*, 2013, 20 (5), 908–912.
5. Uslu H., Cakmak N., Erkan M.E. et al. Left ventricular remodeling assessment in patients with anterior acute myocardial infarction treated with successful primary percutaneous coronary intervention: an observational study. *Anadolu Kardiyol. Derg.*, 2013, 13 (7), 675–681.
6. Allman K.C., Shaw L.J., Hachamovitch R., Udelson J.E. Myocardial viability testing and impact of revascularization on prognosis in patients with coronary artery disease and left ventricular dysfunction: a meta-analysis. *J. Am. Coll. Cardiol.*, 2002, 39, 1151–1158.
7. Canty J.M., Suzuki G., Banas M.D. et al. Hibernating myocardium: chronically adapted to ischemia but vulnerable to sudden death. *Circ. Res.*, 2004, 94, 1142–1149.
8. Erne P., Schoenenberger A.W., Burckhardt D. et al. Effects of percutaneous coronary interventions in silent ischemia after myocardial infarction: the SWISSI II randomized controlled trial. *JAMA*, 2007, 297 (18), 1985–1991.
9. Saidova M.A., Belenkov Yu.N., Akchurin R.S. et al. Viable myocardium: comparative evaluation of surgical and medical treatment options for patients with coronary heart

- disease with post-infarction cardiosclerosis and chronic heart failure. *Ter. Arkhiv*, 2002, 2, 60–64. (In Russian)
10. Haas F., Augustin N., Holper K. et al. Time course and extent of improvement of dysfunctioning myocardium in patients with coronary artery disease and severely depressed left ventricular function after revascularization: correlation with positron emission tomographic findings. *J. Am. Coll. Cardiol.*, 2000, 36, 1927–1934.
 11. Bax J.J., Visser F.C., Poldermans D. et al. Time course of functional recovery of stunned and hibernating segments after surgical revascularization. *Circulation*, 2001, 104 (suppl), I-314–I-318.
 12. Duncan B.H., Ahlberg A.W., Levine M.G., et al. Comparison of electrocardiographic-gated technetium-99m Sestamibi single-photon emission computed tomographic imaging and rest-redistribution thallium-201 in the prediction of myocardial viability. *Am. J. Cardiol.*, 2000, 85, 680–684.
 13. Zaret B.L., Beller G.A. *Clinical nuclear cardiology: state of the art and future directions*. 3rd edition. Elsevier MOSBY, 2005, 559–575.
 14. Alekhin M.N. *Tissue Doppler in clinical echocardiography*/ M.N. Alekhin. M., Insvyazizdat, 2005, 117 pp. (In Russian)
 15. Sicari R., Nihoyannopoulos P., Evangelista A. et al. Stress echocardiography expert consensus statement: European Association of Echocardiography (EAE) (a registered branch of the ESC). *Eur. J. Echocardiogr.*, 2008, 9 (4), 415–437.

Optical Coherence Tomography for Evaluation of Coronary Stents

D.A. Asadov, O.E. Sukhorukov, D.S. Kurtasov, I.A. Kovalchuk, A.N. Rogatova*
Moscow City Centre of Interventional Cardioangiology, Moscow Healthcare Department,
Moscow, Russia

To date, angiographic visualization of the vessel's contours is the "gold standard" in the evaluation of vascular wall condition, in native, as well as in stented segments. However the evaluation of endothelialization degree in the lumen of a stented segment often is not complete due to two-dimensional radiological presentation. The existing methods of intravascular visualization, especially optical coherent tomography, permit to assess the stented segment with maximal accuracy and reliability. This study was aimed at the evaluation of the possibilities of optical coherent tomography in the examination of coronary stents' condition in the mid-term after implantation.

Key words: intravascular visualization, optical coherent tomography, coronary stenting.

* Address for correspondence:

Dzhamil Arifovich Asadov
State-Funded Healthcare Institution Moscow City Centre of Interventional Cardioangiology
Moscow Healthcare Department,
5, Sverchkov side street, Moscow, 101000, Russia
E-mail: asadov_djamil@mail.ru

References

1. Prati F., Regar E., Gary Mintz S. et al. Imaging of atherosclerosis: optical coherence tomography (OCT). Expert review document on methodology, terminology, and clinical applications of optical coherence tomography: physical principles, methodology of image acquisition, and clinical application for assessment of coronary arteries and atherosclerosis. *Eur Heart J* 2010; 31; 401–415.
2. Capodanno D., Prati F., Pawlowsky T. et al. Comparison of optical coherence tomography and intravascular ultrasound for the assessment of in-stent tissue coverage after stent implantation. *Eurointervention* 2009; 5:538-543.
3. Gonzalo N., Soest G., Goderie M. et al. Imaging atherosclerotic plaque composition with intracoronary optical coherence tomography. *Netherlands Heart J* 2009; Vol. 17, Number 11.
4. Wakabayashi K., Lindsay J., Laynez-Carnicero A., et al. Utility for Intravascular ultrasound guidans in patients undergoing percutaneous coronary intervention for type C lesions. *J Interv Cardiol* 2012; 25:452–459.
5. Kato K., Yonetsu T., Kim S.J. et al. Nonculprit plaques in patients with acute coronary syndromes have more vulnerable features compared with those with non-acute coronary syndromes : a 3-vessel optical coherence tomography study. *Circ Cardiovasc Imaging* 2012 ; 5 :433–440.
6. Gutierrez H. et al. Optical coherence tomography: Initial experience in patients undergoing percutaneous coronary intervention. *Rev Esp Cardiol* 2008; 61(9):976-979.
7. Yao Z., Matsubara T., Inada T., et al. Neointimal coverage of sirolimus-eluting stents 6 months and 12 months after implantation: evaluation by optical coherence tomography. *Chin Med J (Engl)* 2008; 121: 503–507.
8. Takano M., Yamamoto M., Inami S. et al. Long-term follow-up evaluation after sirolimus-eluting stent implantation by optical coherence tomography: downcovered struts persist. *J Am Cardiol* 2008; 51(9): 968–969.

Strategy of Coronary Artery Bypass Grafting for Arteries with Moderate (<75%) Stenosis being a Part of Multivessel Disease during Direct Myocardial Revascularization

T.R. Rafaeli, I.V. Isaeva, A.N. Pankov, A.L. Rodionov, S.A. Glembo, R.Yu. Popov, A.V. Stepanov, D.A. Asadov, A.A. Kiryaev, Kh.I. Mindjya, T.R. Djordjikya*

State-Funded Healthcare Institution Moscow City Centre of Interventional Cardioangiology, Moscow Healthcare Department

So far, there is no clear strategy for revascularization of CAs with non-critical (<75%) lesions being a part of multivessel disease during direct myocardial revascularization. There are no clinically and angiographically proven results indicating which minimal CA stenosis is reasonable for using ITA to ensure longevity typical for this conduit. The study is based on retrospective (on average, 6.4 ± 1.8 mo) analysis of preoperative and long-term CAG postoperative data obtained from 567 patients. The patients are divided depending on stenosis degree and conduit type. The superiority of venous conduits over ITA for revascularization of CAs with single stenosis $\geq 70\%$ is proven. The revascularization strategy for critical proximal stenosis in one vessel combined with no significant stenosis between this vessel and another vessel from the same arterial territory is determined.

Key words: myocardial revascularization, mammary artery, venous graft, non-critical stenosis, long-term coronarography, competitive blood flow, graft reduction, myocardial revascularization strategy.

* Address for correspondence:

T.R. Rafaeli

Moscow City Centre of Interventional Cardioangiology

5, Sverchkov pereulok, Moscow, 101000, Russia

Phone: +7-495-624-96-36

Fax: +7-495-624-67-33

E-mail: rafaeli50@yandex.ru

References

1. Taggart D.P., Thomas B., Ferguson Lecture. Coronary artery bypass grafting is still the best treatment for multivessel and left main disease, but patients need to know. *Ann. Thorac. Surg.*, 2006, 82, 1966–1975.
2. Hueb W., Lopes N.H., Gersh B.J., et al. Five-year follow-up of the Medicine, Angioplasty, or Surgery Study (MASS II): a randomized controlled clinical trial of 3 therapeutic strategies for multivessel coronary artery disease. *Circulation*, 2007, 115, 1082–1089.
3. Caracciolo E.A., Davis K.B., Sopko G., et al. Comparison of surgical and medical group survival in patients with left main equivalent coronary artery disease. Long-term CASS experience. *Circulation*, 1995, 91, 2335–2344.
4. Naik H., White A.J., Chakravarty T., et al. A meta-analysis of 3,773 patients treated with percutaneous coronary intervention or surgery for unprotected left main coronary artery stenosis. *JACC Cardiovasc. Interv.*, 2009, 2, 739–747.
5. Sedlis S.P., Morrison D.A., Lorin J.D., et al. Percutaneous coronary intervention versus coronary bypass graft surgery for diabetic patients with unstable angina and risk factors for adverse outcomes with bypass: outcome of diabetic patients in the AWESOME randomized trial and registry. *J. Am. Coll. Cardiol.*, 2002, 40, 1555–1566.
6. Kapur A., Hall R.J., Malik I.S., et al. Randomized comparison of percutaneous coronary intervention with coronary artery bypass grafting in diabetic patients. 1-year results of the

- CARDia (Coronary Artery Revascularization in Diabetes) trial. *J. Am. Coll. Cardiol.*, 2010, 55, 432–440.
7. Herzog C.A., Ma J.Z., Collins A.J. Comparative survival of dialysis patients in the United States after coronary angioplasty, coronary artery stenting, and coronary artery bypass surgery and impact of diabetes. *Circulation*, 2002, 106, 2207–2211.
 8. Ix J.H., Mercado N., Shlipak M.G., et al. Association of chronic kidney disease with clinical outcomes after coronary revascularization: the Arterial Revascularization Therapies Study (ARTS). *Am. Heart J.*, 2005, 149, 512–519.
 9. Kurlansky P., Traad E., Malcolm J., et al. Thirty-year experience with bilateral internal thoracic artery grafting: where have we been and where are we going? *World J. Surg.*, 2010, 34 (4), 646–651.
 10. Berger A., MacCarthy P.A., Siebert U., et al. Long-term patency of internal mammary artery bypass grafts: relationship with preoperative severity of the native coronary artery stenosis. *Circulation*, 2004, 110 (11 Suppl 1) :II36–40.
 11. Botman C.J. Does Stenosis Severity of Native Vessels Influence Bypass Graft Patency? A Prospective Fractional Flow Reserve–Guided Study. *Ann. Thorac. Surg.*, 2007, 83, 2093–2097.
 12. Achouh P., Boutekadjirt R., Toledano D., et al. Long-term (5- to 20-year) patency of the radial artery for coronary bypass grafting. *J. Thorac. Cardiovasc. Surg.*, 2010, 140, 73–79.
 13. Sabik F.J, III, Lytle B.W., Blackstone E.H., et al. Influence of patient characteristics and arterial grafts on freedom from coronary reoperation. *J. Thorac. Cardiovasc. Surg.*, 2006, 131, 90–98.
 14. Locker C., Schaff H.V., Dearani J.A., et al. Multiple Arterial Grafts Improve Late Survival of Patients Undergoing Coronary Artery Bypass Graft Surgery. Analysis of 8622 Patients With Multivessel Disease. *Circulation*, 2012, 126, 1023–1030.
 15. Apostolakis E. Is the use of at least one internal thoracic artery (ITA) directly associated with increased long-term cardiac-specific survival? *Eur. J. Cardiothorac. Surg.*, 2009, 35, 747–748.
 16. van Son J.A., Smedts F., Vincent J.G., et al. Comparative anatomic studies of various arterial conduits for myocardial revascularization. *J. Thorac. Cardiovasc. Surg.*, 1990, 99, 703–707.
 17. Sabik F.-J., Blackstone H., Gillinov A.M., et al. Coronary Artery Bypass Graft Patency and Competitive Flow. *J. Am. Coll. Cardiol.*, 2008, 51, 126–128.
 18. Nordgaard H., Nordhaug D., Kirkeby-Garstad I., et al. Different graft flow patterns due to competitive flow or stenosis in the coronary anastomosis assessed by transit-time flowmetry in a porcine model. *Eur. J. Cardiothorac. Surg.*, 2009, 36, 137–142.
 19. Walpoth B.H., Schmid M., Schwab A., et al. Vascular adaptation of the internal thoracic artery graft early and late after bypass surgery. *J. Thorac. Cardiovasc. Surg.*, 2008, 136 (4), 876–883.
 20. Kern M.J. Limitations of Angiographic Predictors of Bypass Graft Patency. *J. Am. Coll. Cardiol.*, 2008, 52, 886–887.
 21. Kang S.-J. Validation of Intravascular Ultrasound–Derived Parameters With Fractional Flow Reserve for Assessment of Coronary Stenosis Severity *Circulation: Cardiovascular Interventions*, 2011, 4, 65–71.
 22. Mert M., Bakay C. Early and mid-term angiographic assessment of internal thoracic artery grafts anastomosed to non-stenotic left anterior descending coronary arteries. *Thorac. Cardiovasc. Surg.*, 2004, 52 (2), 65–69.
 23. Jeong D.S. Revascularization for the Right Coronary Artery Territory in Off-Pump Coronary Artery Bypass Surgery. *Ann. Thorac. Surg.*, 2013, 96, 3, 778–785.
 24. Maniar H. S., Sundt T., Barner H. Impact of target stenosis and location on radial artery graft patency. *J. Thorac. Cardiovasc. Surg.*, 2002, 123, 45–52.

25. Hwang H.J., Kim J.S., Kim K.B. Equivalency of Right Internal Thoracic Artery and Right Gastroepiploic Artery Composite Grafts: Five-Year Outcomes. *Ann. Thorac. Surg.*, 2013, 96, 6, 2061.
26. Loop F.D., Lytle B.W., Cosgrove D.M. et al. Influence of the internal-mammary-artery graft on 10-year survival and other cardiac events. *N. Engl. J. Med.*, 1986, 314, 1–6.
27. Mert M., Bakay C. Early and mid-term angiographic assessment of internal thoracic artery grafts anastomosed to non-stenotic left anterior descending coronary arteries. *Thorac. Cardiovasc. Surg.*, 2004, 52 (2), 65–69.

Papillary Fibroelastoma. (Successful Removal of the Rare Type of Papillary Fibroelastoma from the Pulmonary Valve)

T.R. Rafaeli, I.V. Isaeva, R.Yu. Popov, A.N. Rogatova, A.V. Stepanov, L.S. Barats, A.A. Kiryaev, A.N. Pankov, A.L. Rodionov, A.Z. Chachev*
State-Funded Healthcare Institution Moscow City Centre of Interventional Cardioangiology,
Moscow Healthcare Department, Russia

The benign cardiac tumors are rare. Diagnostics is primarily based on incidental EchoCG exams of patients. The article contains data on diagnostics, clinical course, and possible complications of papillary fibroelastoma and presents a case of successful removal of the rarest type of papillary fibroelastoma located in the pulmonary valve.

Key words: cardiac tumor, papillary fibroelastoma, clinical course, diagnostics, complications, and surgical treatment of papillary fibroelastoma of the heart.

*** Address for correspondence:**

T.R. Rafaeli
Moscow City Centre of Interventional Cardioangiology
5, Sverchkov pereulok, Moscow, 101000, Russia
Phone: +7-495-624-96-36
Fax: +7-495-624-67-33
E-mail: rafaeli50@yandex.ru

References

1. Ivanov A.S., Beloyan G.M., Rodionov A.S. et al. Papillary fibroelastoma of the heart. *Kardiologiya i serdechno-sosudistaya khirurgiya*, 2009, 4, 91–94. (In Russian)
2. Vitovskiy R.M., Zakharova V.P., Beshlyaga V.M. et al. Papillary fibroelastoma. *Oncologiya*, 2002, 4, 4, 306. (In Russian)
3. Rafaeli T.R., Isaeva I.V., Arabadjan I.S. et al. Surgical access to tumors in case of left atrial myxomas. *International Journal of Interventional Cardioangiology*, 2009, 18, 61–65.
4. Rafaeli T.R., Isaeva I.V., Arabadjan I.S. et al. A rare case of double lipoma of the right atrium. *International Journal of Interventional Cardioangiology*, 2011, 25, pp. 33–35.
5. Abad C., De la Rosa P., Right atrial papillary fibroelastoma associated with atrial septal defect, persistent superior vena cava, and coronary artery disease. *J. Thorac. Cardiovasc. Surg.*, 2008, 136, 2, 538.
6. Edwards F., Hale D.B., Cohen A.B. et al. Primary cardiac valve tumors. *Ann. Thorac. Surg.*, 1991, 52, 5, 1127–1131.
7. El-Mourad M., Yavari A., McWilliams E., Walker D. An unusual cause of severe dyspnoea—papillary fibroelastoma of the tricuspid valve. *BMJ Case Reports* 2010, doi:10.1136/bcr.10.2009.2376
8. Georghiou G.P., Erez E., Vidne B.A., Aravot D. Tricuspid valve papillary fibroelastoma: an unusual cause of intermittent dyspnea. *Eur. J. Cardiothorac. Surg.*, 2003, 23, 3, 429–431.
9. Gowda R.M., Khan I.A., Nair C.K. Cardiac papillary fibroelastoma: a comprehensive analysis of 725 cases. *Amer. Heart J.*, 2003, 146, 3, 404–410.
10. Grinda J.M., Couetil J.P., Chauvaud S., D'Attellis N. Cardiac valve papillary fibroelastoma: Surgical excision for revealed or potential embolization. *J. Thorac. Cardiovasc. Surg.*, 1999, 117, 1, 106–110.
11. Lichtenstein H.L., Lee J.C., Stewart S. Papillary tumors of the heart: incidental findings at surgery. *Hum. Pathol.*, 1979, 10, 473–475

12. Hattori R., Oishi C. , Iwasaka J. Multiple papillary fibroelastoma with quadricuspid aortic valve. *J. Thorac. Cardiovasc. Surg.*, 2009, 137, 5, 1280–1282.
13. Jonjev Zi.S., Torbica V., Mojasevic R. Multiple papillary fibroelastomas as a cause of recurrent syncope. *J. Thorac. Cardiovasc. Surg.*, 2013, 145, 5, e51–e52.
14. Kim K., Choi J.B. Papillary fibroelastoma in tricuspid valve: An unusual cause of atypical chest pain. *J. Thorac. Cardiovasc. Surg.*, 2013, 145, 4, 1131.
15. Law K., Phillips K., Cusimano R.J., Butany J. Multifocal “tapete” papillary fibroelastoma. *J. Clin. Pathol.*, 2009, 62, 1066–1070
16. Lotto A.A., Earl U.M., Owens W. Right atrial mass: Thrombus, myxoma, or cardiac papillary fibroelastoma. *J. Thorac. Cardiovasc. Surg.*, 2006, 132, 1, 159–160.
17. Roumya A., Gerellia S., Marco P.Di, Mazzucotellia J.-P. Papillary fibroelastoma of the tricuspid valve: a perioperative diagnosis. *Eur. J. Cardiothorac. Surg.*, 2014 doi: 10.1093/ejcts/ezt644
18. Saxena P., Konstantinov I., Lee A. Papillary fibroelastoma of aortic valve: Early diagnosis and surgical management. *J. Thorac. Cardiovasc. Surg.*, 2007, 133, 3, 849–850.
19. Sun J.P., Asher C., Yang X., Cheng G.G. Clinical and Echocardiographic Characteristics of Papillary Fibroelastomas. A Retrospective and Prospective Study in 162 Patients. *Circulation*, 2001, 103, 2687–2693
20. Vagefi P.A. , Bates N.R. , Ptaszek L.M. et al. Valve-sparing excision of aortic valve papillary fibroelastoma. *J. Thorac. Cardiovasc. Surg.*, 2006, 131, 5, 1177–1178.
21. Kurup A.N., Tazelaar H.D., Edwards W.D. et al. Iatrogenic cardiac papillary fibroelastoma: A study of 12 cases (1990 to 2000) *Hum. Pathol.*, 2002, 33, 12, 1165–1169.

On Summated Assessment of the State of the Coronary Bed in Ischaemic Heart Disease Cases

Yu.S. Petrosyan, D.G. Iosseliani

Laboratory of Endovascular Examination of Cardiovascular System (Head – Professor Yu.S. Petrossian) of Bakoulev Institute for Cardiovascular Surgery (Director – Corresponding Member of the Academy of Medical Sciences of the USSR Professor V.I. Bourakovsky), Moscow

The manuscript was received on May 18, 1976